SOUTHERN SANDOVAL COUNTY ARROYO FLOOD CONTROL AUTHORITY
(SSCAFCA)
MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2000
REGULAR BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

CALL TO ORDER.

The regular meeting of the SSCAFCA Board of Directors was called to order by Dub Yarbrough, Chairman, at 1:17 p.m.

Directors in attendance were John Chaney, James Dorn, Bill Joiner, Guy McDowell and Dub Yarbrough. Staff members present were David Stoliker, Executive Director, Pam McGrath, and Yolanda Guerrero. Bernard Metzgar, SCAFCA’s attorney and members of the public were also present.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

A motion was made by Bill Joiner to approve the Agenda as presented. It was seconded by James Dorn and passed unanimously.

SECRETARY’S REPORT.


A motion was made by Bill Joiner to approve the minutes from August 15, 2000 as presented. It was seconded by James Dorn and passed unanimously.

2. Announce the Resignation from the SCAFCA Board.

Mr. Joiner announced his resignation from the SCAFCA Board effective September 30, 2000. Mr. Yarbrough presented Mr. Joiner with a plaque for his service to the Board.

CHAIRMAN REPORT.

2. Discuss Replacement for Mr. Joiner’s Seat and Acceptance of Applicants to Serve out Remainder of Mr. Joiner’s Term.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that there have been four gentlemen who have expressed interest to SSCAFCA in being appointed to serve out the remainder of Mr. Joiner’s term. The gentlemen are Milton Cox, Tony Popper, Virgil Rhodes, and Oliver Scheflow.

Mr. Popper stated that he has been involved in the Cuidad Soil and Water Conservation District since the first election of SCAFCA
officers. He is currently a commissioner on the State Soil & Water Conservation Commission appointed by the Governor. He stated that he is interested in joining the Board in order to serve the community.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that Mr. Rhodes was once a state senator for Sandoval County. Mr. Rhodes stated that he has considerable experience in construction work. He has worked on some Corps of Engineer projects as a contractor. He is familiar with the business of engineering and drainage.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that Mr. Scheflow sat on the Corrales Watershed Board before it was disbanded. Mr. Scheflow stated that he ran for the Board in 1994 and 1996 and has since kept in touch with the Board. He has 25 years of experience in the administration of an engineering department.

Mr. Cox was not present at the meeting due to illness. However, Mr. Yarbrough stated that from Mr. Cox's resume he is well qualified to serve on the Board.

Mr. Yarbrough further stated that the Personnel Committee would like to present to the Board its recommendation to forward the names of these four gentlemen to the Governor, in alphabetical order, and let the Governor make the appointment. He also stated that anyone else is free to contact the Governor directly about the vacancy.

A motion was made by James Dorn to accept the Personnel Committee's Report on the vacancy, as read by Mr. Yarbrough. It was seconded by Bill Joiner and passed unanimously.

TREASURER’S REPORT.

1. Approve Expenditures for 07/01/00 - 07/31/00.

A motion was made by James Dorn to approve the expenditures for 07/01/00 through 07/31/00. It was seconded by Bill Joiner and passed unanimously.

2. Approve Treasurer’s Report for 07/31/00.

A motion was made by James Dorn to approve the Treasurer’s Report dated 07/31/00. It was seconded by Bill Joiner and passed unanimously.
3. Approve Expenditures for 08/01/00 - 08/31/00.

A motion was made by James Dorn to approve the expenditures for 08/01/00 through 08/31/00. It was seconded by Bill Joiner and passed unanimously.

4. Approve Treasurer's Report for 08/31/00.

A motion was made by James Dorn to approve the Treasurer's Report dated 08/31/00. It was seconded by Bill Joiner and passed unanimously.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the July reports were not prepared for the August meeting because SSCAFCA has to wait until the year end balances are "cleaned up" and "closed out" of the computer before things can move forward.

5. 1998/1999 Fiscal Year Audit Report and Correspondence from Domingo Martínez, State Auditor, dated 09/05/00.

Mr. Stoliker stated that there was a comment from the state auditor regarding Board member representation at the exit interview. First, the audit was approximately eight months late. He stated that Mr. Gaylord had pulled off of SSCAFCA's audit onto other jobs, lost his staff in the process and ended up being late with SSCAFCA's audit. Second, SSCAFCA does not control the auditor. Mr. Yarbrough stated that SSCAFCA was not given enough time regarding the exit interview to have Mr. Dorn present at the exit interview and that steps have been taken to make sure this doesn't happen again.


Mr. Dorn stated that SSCAFCA's recommendation to employ Mackie, Reid & Company, CPAs as SSCAFCA's auditor has been approved by the state auditor.

A motion was made by Bill Joiner to approve the audit contract of Mackie, Reid & Company, CPAs. It was seconded by Dub Yarbrough and passed unanimously.

PUBLIC FORUM.

None.
LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT.

1. Middle Venada ROW Purchase.

Mr. Metzgar stated that AMREP had asked that SSCAFCA condemn and pay for the property that was needed for this project. SSCAFCA agreed to do that and accept from AMREP land equal in value to the amount of money SSCAFCA will pay out. There are several different areas from which SSCAFCA will be able to choose to obtain the land. He stated that SSCAFCA and AMREP met and SSCAFCA picked an area for a pond, which was in the Venada Arroyo and agreed to by AMREP. It was not agreed as to how much land would have to be given by AMREP to meet the amount of money SSCAFCA will be paying for the acquisition. SSCAFCA and AMREP will continue to meet on this item.

Mr. Chaney stated that the arroyo bottom has been appraised at approximately $5,000.00 per acre. Mr. Metzgar stated that there was a question of that amount because of some easements that go with the land. Mr. Chaney stated that if SSCAFCA sets a precedent that arroyo bottom land is worth $5,000.00 per acre, it is highly doubtful that SSCAFCA would be able to afford to purchase the arroyos from private land owners. Mr. Chaney stated that the arroyo bottoms should be donated. No action was taken and the issue was directed back for further negotiation and discussion with AMREP.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ON REQUESTS FOR FUNDING FOR THE ENCHANTED HILLS BOULEVARD CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS.

Mr. Curtis, of the City of Rio Rancho, stated that the Enchanted Hills Boulevard Channel belongs to the City of Rio Rancho. There was an extreme event, possibly in excess of a 100-year storm, that occurred in the summer of 1999. The channel was damaged in that storm, even though the road was not damaged. The channel required extensive repairs. The City has decided, instead of going back with the existing design, to try to increase the capacity of the channel.

Mr. Curtis stated that there is money (approximately $1 million) available from the federal government to repair the channel. The City is requesting funds from SSCAFCA to come up with the 25% local match for the repairs. Mr. Curtis stated that the best way to fix the channel would be a concrete lining, and that the City has looked at a turf option, which has its own problems. The channel is limited because it is a straight channel with homes on one side and a major arterial on the other. There can be no
meandering of the channel. Because of the desert environment of Rio Rancho and the sensitivity of water, the City is leaning away from the turf option. Colored concrete is a possibility to limit the harshness of the channel. At this point, the City is not thinking about the design of the channel; they are simply trying to raise its 25% match to get the federal money.

Mr. Chaney asked that if the channel is hard lined would it have to be fenced. Mr. Curtis stated that he does not believe it is mandatory that be done, but the City would consider fencing. There is a block wall on the property line abutting the homes. There is a driveable access for equipment and maintenance along the channel in an area that is about 15 feet from the wall to the channel.

Mr. Stoliker stated that there are certain velocities upon which certain types of treatment would not work. The velocity in this channel is above 15 feet per second and the turf options is not recommended. The only way to make it work would be to hard line it. Mr. Stoliker stated that the flow in the channel during a 100-year event would be above SSCAFCA's limit of 500 cfs. Mr. Stoliker stated that SSCAFCA has the funds available to do the work from other projects.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the money could come out of Sheriff’s Posse Road FEMA grant match, the Tree Farm Pond right-of-way, and the Lisbon Channel. There is no more right-of-way needed right now and that is $75,000.00 that would be available. The Lisbon Channel is about a year away from being completed and has $1.5 million available. The next bond issue of $2.5 million, to be issued early next spring, would be the funding source.

A motion was made by John Chaney to enter into a Joint Powers Agreement with the City to provide this funding, up to $230,000.00, subject to the City of Rio Rancho getting the grant from the federal government. It was seconded by Bill Joiner.

The motion passed unanimously.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSISTANT FUNDING.

Mr. Curtis stated that the City will be requesting funding next month, due to some reports done by SSCAFCA in Unit 10, some localized drainage studies in Unit 7 and from some work the City funded in 1995, which identified Unit 17 as a problem area. There is a need for a Unit 17 study, which needs to be followed up with acquisition of right-of-way mostly for detention ponds and some
easements along smaller arroyos. The amount needed would be $50,000.00 from SSCAFCA for local government assistance and the City would match it with $50,000.00 of its own money. Approximately $20,000.00 would go toward the engineering study of Unit 17. The other $80,000.00 would go toward right-of-way acquisition.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that SSCAFCA has some excess property along Rio Vista that might be able to be transferred to the City. Mr. Curtis stated that the City could possibly utilize that property and would be more than happy to take "in kind" donations. Mr. Metzgar stated that SSCAFCA would probably need local government approval to transfer property from one governmental agency to another, but the approvals are not the same as if SSCAFCA is selling property to individuals.

Mr. Haliday stated that he is a homeowner who lives adjacent to the Barranca Arroyo and stood out last summer on two different occasions and watched the water rush down the arroyo. He has been told the City of Rio Rancho still owns the arroyo and he is wondering why SSCAFCA hasn't taken it over. He is concerned about the original engineering and is also concerned that SSCAFCA is limited as to the amount of involvement it has in some projects. He would feel more secure if SSCAFCA would take care of the major drainage projects rather than leave it up to the City of Rio Rancho.

Mr. Curtis stated that the City would address the problem with the Barranca Arroyo. The information in the packet on the Barranca Arroyo does not relate to SSCAFCA. The City is still in control of the Barranca Arroyo.

Mr. McDowell questioned what SSCAFCA's powers are. Mr. Metzgar stated that SSCAFCA is responsible for all flood control within its jurisdiction. SSCAFCA then enters into agreements with the various local governments that they will be SSCAFCA's agents for certain drainage within their boundaries. SSCAFCA also uses its funding mainly for projects that involve 500 cfs or more. SSCAFCA also attempts to assist local governments in some of its projects up to the limit of $50,000.00 per year.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he believes that the consensus of the Board is that it will help with this matter when Mr. Curtis returns after he has approval from City Council.
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DISCUSSION/ACTION ON RIO RANCHO RESOLUTION #58 REQUEST FOR FUNDING.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he received resolution #58 from the Rio Rancho City Council approximately a year ago. SSCAFCA held off on it while waiting for Tree Farm Pond to be finished. Mr. Stoliker was instructed to bring this matter back to the Board next month in letterform.

BUILDING COMMITTEE REPORT.

Mr. Joiner stated that the Building Committee has decided to advertise an RFP for an architect. Mr. Stoliker stated that under the report, the Building Committee would pick up to three firms to provide a model and cost estimates for SSCAFCA's building. The committee has suggested paying up to $1,000.00 for each firm to provide the models. Mr. Metzgar stated that Mr. Stoliker has the authority to appoint a Selection Advisory Committee for reviewing the responses to RFPS. The Board has a precedent, which states that Mr. Stoliker come to the Board and asks if any members of the Board want to serve on the committee. Mr. Yarbrough had suggested that since the Building Committee has been working on this for quite some time, the Selection Advisory Committee should be composed of the Building Committee, plus an outside person to serve on that committee to review the responses to the RFP.

Mr. McDowell stated that the firms responding to the RFP should spend the $1,000.00 to build the model rather than SSCAFCA paying them. Mr. Stoliker stated that the larger firms can do that, however, a small architect firm might not be able to afford this amount of money, thus limiting the choices.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE REPORT.

Mr. Chaney stated that the Personnel Committee met on August 21, 2000 to address how to fill vacant positions within SSCAFCA. One approach would be to bring people in through the ranks and not advertise. Another was to advertise the positions. The Personnel Committee proposes that SSCAFCA advertise for all vacant positions.

Mr. Chaney stated the second issue the committee reviewed was the normal workday for SSCAFCA. Three of the members of the Personnel Committee are in favor of setting work hours from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. with two 15-minute breaks and one hour for lunch. Mr. Stoliker would like to have the flexibility to allow staff to take ½ hour for lunch and be able to leave at 4:30 p.m. The
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Personnel Committee recommends that SSCAFCA should be like other public entities and stay open from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that he called Sandoval County and its normal office hours are 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. with a one-hour lunch, except some of the elected offices can vary from that. The City of Rio Rancho’s hours are 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The City of Albuquerque’s hours are 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Mr. Yarbrough stated that SSCAFCA should be consistent with other public entities. The phones should be manned at all hours, including lunchtime. The lunch hour should be staffed so someone can answer phones.

Mr. McDowell stated that SSCAFCA should be continuously open from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. He stated that the staff should stagger its lunch hour so that the phones are staffed at all times.

Mr. Chaney stated that the office could stay open from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. so the phones can be answered, but that Mr. Stoliker should have the flexibility to allow some of the staff members to leave at 4:30 p.m., as long as the office remains open. Mr. Yarbrough stated that in the past, the staff has been going home at 4:30 and Mr. Stoliker is trying to cover the phones, but he is out of the office quite a bit.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that SSCAFCA’s hours are 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., but that the personnel have been going home at 4:30 p.m. Mr. Metzgar stated that a Personnel Policy was adopted by the Board, so if the times are changed, the Personnel Policy will have to be changed also. The policy states that SSCAFCA’s normal workweek is 40 hours. The office normal workweek is Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The Personnel Policy was adopted several years ago. The Policy also states that lunch periods are usually one hour and rest breaks, one in the first half of the work day, and one in the second half, are usually 15 minutes. The reason for the wording “usually” is to take care of any emergency that might arise. He further stated that, somehow, without a change in the policy, and prior to Mr. Stoliker coming on, the work hours changed to 4:30 with a ½ hour for lunch. The Personnel Committee is trying to reiterate what the Personnel Policy says.

Mr. Metzgar stated that the auditor has said that he felt that there was enough wording in the Personnel Policy that required the Board to publicize all open job positions. The policy was not clear, so the Personnel Committee is recommending that wording to allow publication for all positions be added to the policy.
Mr. Stoliker stated that the two employees the change in policy would affect are present today. Ms. McGrath would like to take \( \frac{1}{2} \) hour for lunch. Yolanda would also like to take a \( \frac{1}{2} \) hour lunch. Mr. Yarbrough stated that it has not worked with Mr. Stoliker trying to cover the office between 4:30 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. Mr. Chaney suggested that the two employee's alternate leaving at 4:30 p.m., either by day or by week. Mr. Stoliker stated that the staff works better, given the tasks it has, without distractions. Mr. Stoliker stated that he believes the best solution would be to make the workday 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., with \( \frac{1}{2} \) hour for lunch. Mr. Chaney stated that the Personnel Committee voted to keep the workday 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. to service the public, as all other public entities do.

Mr. Joiner stated that the Board should go with the Committee. Mr. Yarbrough stated that there is a resolution that was formulated several years ago, which set the workday. Mr. Chaney stated that he would like to give Mr. Stoliker the authority to selectively allow his employees to go home at 4:30 p.m., but to keep the office open until 5:00 p.m. with someone on staff, besides Mr. Stoliker, at the office. Mr. Yarbrough stated that the office should not be vacant at any time during the day.

A motion was made by John Chaney that the Board accept the Personnel Committee's recommendations, to be modified to give Mr. Stoliker the flexibility to allow selected employees to go home at 4:30 p.m. and take \( \frac{1}{2} \) hour lunch, but that the office will be open from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and the phone will be manned at all times. The motion was seconded by Bill Joiner. The motion passed 5-0.

1. Resignation of Shelley Cobau.

Mr. Stoliker stated that Ms. Cobau has given her resignation and her last day will be this Friday. Ms. Cobau has taken a job close to her home in Santa Fe. He stated that he has advertised for the position.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT.**

1. Discussion/Approval of Haynes Park Detention Pond Task Order and Budget Adjustment.

Mr. Stoliker introduced Jerry Bowser, the chairman of Rio Rancho Parks & Rec., and Carrie Brewster, representing Ed Chismar
from Parks & Rec. A presentation was recently made to the City of Rio Rancho regarding the 528 Channel and how the flow had to be reduced. SSCAFCA needed a spot to detain the water and suggested putting the improvements into Haynes Park and the public would receive a benefit. Roscoe Field is in the process of being redone and is just to the North of Haynes Park. Mr. Stoliker stated that they would like to have a joint use of Roscoe Field that would save the public money and provide for the necessary reduction in drainage flow to the NMSR 528 channel.

Mr. Stoliker stated that it would be a joint use facility for storm water detention and recreation. SSCAFCA would do the work pursuant to the City’s specifications. It would be irrigated, but it would be excavated to provide detention so in the 100-year event the field would be flooded for less than 96 hours. It is part of the 528 Channel and meets SSCAFCA’s 500-cfs requirements. He stated that there would need to be an agreement with the City wherein the City would maintain the field at a cost of approximately $12,000.00 per year. The City would get the initial capital improvements, which SSCAFCA would do.

Mr. Dodge stated that the idea for this agreement came out of the 528 Channel study. The 528 Channel drains into Seven Bar, which in turn drains into the Black’s diversion channel. The channels are all undersized at this time according to FEMA rules. A combination of ponding and channel improvements is the cheapest overall system solution. The ponding necessary along 528 is in the range of 30 to 40 acre-feet total. Haynes Park could handle six-acre feet. There is an agreement between ANAFCA and the City of Rio Rancho to limit the flows at the Westside Boulevard to 1000 cfs. The current flows for 100-year event are 1050 cfs. One of the impacts of Haynes Park would reduce that flow to the agreed flow. Haynes Park would not sit on the Channel. It sits behind and is not very deep, three or four feet. It would collect the water before it enters the channel. The waters already in the channel would keep on going. The park offers the opportunity to do some storm water quality enhancements. The sediments would drop out and a wetland area could be incorporated. Mr. Dodge proposes to use Morrow and Associates for the landscaping.

Mr. Stoliker stated that this project is budgeted at $400,000.00 in the Seven Bar Channel project. He proposes a maximum budget of $1 million for this project. The $400,000.00 is budgeted this year for land acquisition and next year another $600,000.00 would be reallocated to build the structure. The money could be taken out of the Lisbon Channel project or other projects,
which will not be done this fiscal year. Replacement of that funding would occur as projects are developed or eliminated.

Mr. Stoliker stated that it appears in urban areas you get silt loads of 1% to 3%, and are generally in the first part of the storm water event. As part of this project, he would like to put in a piping system that would take the first flush out, so the silt would not affect the field. He would also like to have a secondary system of possibly a low-flow swale or water quality enhancement, and then the park could be utilized for the larger flows.

Mr. Dodge stated that there are several facilities throughout the state that are joint use. They get inundated with water several times a year. In every case, the first flush flow is carried past the facility, or handled outside, so the silt does not go onto the field. It is possible there would be maintenance requirements to clean up the field if there was debris in the water. He has found that sediment flows in urban areas are not a problem, because the first flush is passed outside of the fields.

To have a detention pond itself, with no recreation facilities, the cost would be $1.08 million. The City of Rio Rancho would like to have a full size football field in the bottom of Roscoe Field, which is the way it is set up today.

Mr. Bowser, of Parks & Recreation, of the City of Rio Rancho, stated that he is also a registered professional civil engineer. The City inherited Roscoe Field when it built the sports complex along Montoyas Arroyo. The joint use would benefit both the City and SSCAFCA.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the field would have to be appraised to make sure that the easement SSCAFCA purchased is less than or equal to the amount that SSCAFCA puts into the benefits for the City. The bond attorney would also have to be involved since bond money would be used.

A motion was made by John Chaney to approve the Haynes Park Detention Pond Task Order. It was seconded by Guy McDowell.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that SSCAFCA had an agreement with Intel to participate in the additional freeboard in the Seven Bar Channel, which would also lessen the flows. Mr. Stoliker stated that the flow is expected to go up to 1400 cfs. The solution to this problem is still being pursued, but the joint project with the City could do nothing but help.
Mr. Dodge stated that without the Haynes Park project, the freeboard needed in Seven Bar Channel could be up to four feet. As SSCAFCA starts taking off flow by building ponds, the flow will reduce downstream. The size of the channel would then be reduced, which reduces the Highway Department’s cost. Mr. Stoliker stated that the drainage portion along the corridor is estimated to cost $14.3 million. The Highway Department has indicated that if SSCAFCA can prove a cost reduction to the Highway Department, it will cost share with SSCAFCA, of course subject to agreement.

The motion passed unanimously.


Mr. Stoliker stated that the cattle guard crossing on Meadowlark will be done this week. Once that is done, Inca Construction will come in and finish that project. It will take approximately two weeks. The pipeline north of the cattle guard that drains Sara’s Meadow development is in place.


Mr. Stoliker stated that there is an article in the Board’s packets regarding Total Maximum Daily Load, which is self-explanatory and will be coming up in the near future.

4. Dam 1 Outfall/Mayhill Property Acquisition Status Report.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he tried contacting the Mayhills but has not reached them.

5. Unit 17 Excess Land Sales Correspondence dated 09/08/00 to Mr. McHugh.

Mr. Stoliker stated that a copy of the correspondence is in the Board’s packet. The letter is asking Mr. McHugh to allow SSCAFCA to go to a realtor to sell the remaining properties.

For Information:

1. Transmittal Correspondence dated August 3, 2000 on Approval to Remove Sediment from the Northern Boulevard Sedimentation Pond.
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Mr. Stoliker stated that he has provided a copy of the above-referenced correspondence in the Board’s packet for the Board’s information.

2. Transmittal Correspondence dated September 7, 2000 on the Sara Road Storm Drainage Study.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he has provided a copy of the above-referenced correspondence in the Board’s packet for the Board’s information.

3. Transmittal Correspondence dated September 5, 2000 Corrales Westside Drainage Management Plan & the Corrales Main Drain.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he has provided a copy of the above-referenced correspondence in the Board’s packet for the Board’s information. Mr. Stoliker received a call from Mayor Kanin yesterday on the Drainage Plan. The Mayor indicated that he doesn’t believe the report.

DIRECTOR’S COMMENTS.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that he has a document, which shows what SSCAFCA has spent on the escarpment west of the Thompson Fence Line. The projects were to protect the Village of Corrales. It started with Tree Farm Pond cattle guard structure and the Urban Pond system, including the pond at Loma Larga and the one below Tree Farm Pond. It also includes the Dams 1 & 4 Project and the pipeline from Dam 1 to Los Montoyas. All the projects SSCAFCA have completed protect Corrales and comes to $5.3 million in construction costs. There is probably another $1 million in land acquisition costs. The first bond issue that created SSCAFCA was $4 million. SSCAFCA has since issued $4.5 million. All the bond issues have gone toward doing improvements to protect Corrales.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that he has asked Mr. Stoliker to identify all of the properties along the Thompson Fence Line that were acquired for the original alignment on the Dams 4 to 1 Project. Once the properties have been identified, Mr. Yarbrough would like the Board’s approval to sell those properties. Mr. Metzgar stated that one of the things that must be done before the sale is that the land must be declared excess. Once that is done, the process of appraising it and selling it can begin.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he missed one thing on the proposed SSCAFCA building. He included a handout, which asks to increase
the budget for the building. The building fund is set up at $750,000.00. What wasn't included in that budget was landscaping and maintenance building. If the Board wants those included, he will need to move funds from one account into the building fund, which will require a resolution to move the funds and will also require DFA approval. If the Board wants to build the building this year and do the landscaping and outer buildings later, this will not need to be done. Mr. Chaney stated that this matter should be sent back to the Building Committee to formulate a resolution.

OTHER BUSINESS.

None.

ADJOURNMENT.

A motion was made by Bill Joiner and seconded by James Dorn to adjourn the meeting. It was carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m.

WM. C. YARBROUGH
Chairman

BILL R. JOINER  John Chaney
Secretary, Acting

DATE APPROVED: 10/17/00