SOUTHERN SANDOVAL COUNTY ARROYO FLOOD CONTROL AUTHORITY (SSCAFCA)
MINUTES OF MAY 21, 2002
BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING

CALL TO ORDER.

The regular meeting of the SSCAFCA Board of Directors was called to order by Dub Yarbrough, Chairman, at 1:17 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

The Board was led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Dub Yarbrough.

ROLL CALL OF DIRECTORS.

Directors in attendance were John Chaney, Richard Deubel, Guy McDowell, and Dub Yarbrough. Staff members present were David Stoliker, Executive Director, Bob Fogle song, Perry Baird, and Laura Davis. Bernard Metzgar, SSCAFCA's attorney and members of the public were also present.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

A motion was made by Richard Deubel to approve the Agenda. It was seconded by John Chaney and passed unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA.


A motion was made by Richard Deubel to approve the minutes of April 16, 2002, as presented. It was seconded by John Chaney and passed unanimously.


A motion was made by Richard Deubel to approve the Treasurer's Report dated 04/30/02 as presented. It was seconded by John Chaney and passed unanimously.

Mark Conkling arrived.

PUBLIC FORUM.
Funds for SSCAFCA Building.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that the original flood control authority of Southern Sandoval County was the Corrales Watershed District (CWD), which only encompassed the Village limits. When SSCAFCA was created, they had an overlapping jurisdiction and the people of Corrales were paying taxes to SSCAFCA and to CWD for flood control. Rather than keep both entities going with overlapping tax mills, CWD was disbanded. There were funds left in the CWD coffers which was transferred to SSCAFCA which consisted of roughly half a million dollars with the understanding that SSCAFCA could not touch the principal for five years. At the end of the five years, that figure turned out to be in excess of $600,000.00 that SSCAFCA inherited from CWD and that money was earmarked for a building for SSCAFCA. The other funds for the building are coming from SSCAFCA money, but SSCAFCA has been more than open regarding the funds for the building. SSCAFCA has not raised the mil levy in more than 10 years.

Mr. Stoliker stated that there was also some land that had been provided by CWD to SSCAFCA that more than covers all the remaining costs of the building. SSCAFCA has sold part of it, is in the process of trying to sell the remainder. None of the money for the building is coming out of the upcoming bond election.

Mr. Metzgar stated that the property that was declared excess was sold to the general public under DFA regulations. That money was placed in the building fund. All of the property was land that was condemned by CWD for the Dulcelina Curtis Channel and the Harvey Jones Channel. Mr. Yarbrough stated that as far as the building site, it was acquired from AMREP, for no out of pocket cost, when SSCAFCA did the Dam 4 to 1 Project.

CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.

1. El Dorado de Corrales Presentation by Cliff Spirock.

Mr. Cliff Spirock, representing Eric Youngberg, stated that Mr. Youngberg owns 45 acres in the Northwest Sector and the far Northwest Sector of the Village of Corrales. In April, the Village adopted the Far Northwest Sector Plan that deals with approximately 400 acres. Of critical concern to this proposed development, at the northeast corner, is a proposed bridge to span the Dulcelina Curtis Channel, to be constructed by Mr. Youngberg in conjunction with his 40 lot subdivision. Mr. Youngberg will not touch the channel nor modify it at all. It will be paid for as a sole development improvement expense by Mr. Youngberg, together with additional roadway improvements on the north side of the Harvey Jones Channel. The plans call for one bridge to span the Dulcelina Curtis Channel in the vicinity of MRGCD Tract 11; another bridge to span the constructive portion of the Los Montoyas
Channel in the vicinity of SSCAFCA’s station 119+50; and an intersection with NM 528. There is a minor roadway connection allowed north of Rio Rancho. In the Rio Vista area, there is connection only for local access. The balance of the roadways portrayed are a series of 60’, 40’ and 30’ internal roadway systems. Mr. Spirock stated that he would like to secure SSCAFCA’s approval of a license to cross the Dulcelina Curtis Channel.

Mr. Youngberg is only asking for one bridge. Mr. Spirock stated that the existing Dulcelina Curtis Channel, in the center, is approximately 17' wide. The documents grant a dedicated right-of-way by easement for 30' on both sides to the Village of Corrales and within that is SSCAFCA’s maintenance road. By complying with the Far Northwest Sector Plan, this project and the adjacent projects to the north and the south will have to dedicate an additional 60' further to the west of the Dulcelina Curtis Channel. That leaves a vacant area of approximately 55' to 60' that SSCAFCA presently uses for its facilities that the Village will have no use for as a roadway. That space can be used either for a recreation and open space trail, a continuation of the service road or SSCAFCA could take the service road up to the collector road and back down to avoid crossing underneath the bridge.

Mr. Spirock stated that the bridge is proposed to span the channel, to have earth fill on either side of it, not touch the Channel itself, and reconstruct the maintenance roads to avoid the bridge on both sides. They are precluded from any other access or entry by the Far Northwest Sector plan of the Village. They are addressing the drainage on their project only. The Village’s engineer will be presenting its drainage concept within the next two weeks. There is also a move afoot for the acquisition of, by a limited liability company, of approximately 200+ acres north of this proposed development. If the LLC is successful in banding together, a drainage study would be addressed. For this project, it is very clear that they have direction by the Village of Corrales to retain all site generated run-off on site.

Mr. Spirock has recommended to his client a bridge structure that is approximately 40’ in width, which is enough to accommodate a 22’ foot roadway section with adjacent shoulders. It would span the channel and leave at least five feet on either side away from the walls.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the crossing of the Dulcelina Curtis Channel can be make to work and, from staff standpoint, crossing the Montoyas would be much more expensive and less safe to the citizens.
Mr. Bob Borman, who was the Chairman of the Far Northwest Sector Task Force, stated that his work officially finished with the adoption of the plan. The license would be between the Village of Corrales and SSCAFCA. He suggested holding the license “hostage” until the Village provides SSCAFCA with the plan. On page 16, Policy D, it clearly states, “The Village of Corrales should obtain a license from, and enter appropriate agreements, with SSCAFCA to obtain the access, to construct and to maintain the bridge crossing of the Harvey Jones Channel.” A similar mention is made for the Dulcelina Curtis Channel.

Mr. Deubel asked if there were any jurisdictional issues in having the intersection as opposed to having the bridge span the maintenance road. Mr. Stoliker stated that is an issue that would have to be worked out and it is a concern to staff. SSCAFCA’s policy with transportation has been that SSCAFCA has deferred to the local jurisdiction while still protecting SSCAFCA’s facilities and assuring itself full O & M capabilities. Mr. Metzgar stated that CWD declared the maintenance roads public roads. They did that for the benefit of homeowners who did not have public access and who were using MRGCD roads. Then, CWD negotiated with the Village and turned those roads over to the Village because CWD did not want to keep them as public roads and be subject to liability. An easement was maintained over them for maintenance purposes.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the final watershed management plan for the Montoyas will be coming back to the Board for approval soon. In that plan, it will start out with dams. At some point, a study will need to be done on raising the bridge or building more dams. Staff believes that the channel height on the Dulcelina Curtis Channel is adequate at this time.

Mr. Spirock agreed to return to next month’s meeting with a formal request. No Action was taken.

2. **Discussion of the Possibility of Moving Board Meetings to Evenings.**

Mr. Yarbrough stated that he has had some comments from a couple of people in the area about why board meetings are not held in the evenings. Mr. Stoliker has had only one inquiry. Mr. Deubel stated that only two people have come to him to inquire as to why meetings are not held in the evenings. Since that time, he has come to the conclusion that it would only cost SSCAFCA more money to pay staff to attend those meetings; it probably would cost other governmental entities
more money also. The meetings could not be started until 6:00 p.m. to give people
time to get to them after getting off of work at 5:00 p.m., and the meetings could
easily last until after 10:00 p.m. Absent more requests for moving the meetings, he
suggested not doing so. Mr. McDowell and Mr. Chaney feel that it is best to leave
the meeting time alone.

It was the consensus of the Board to leave the meeting time as is.

3. Discussion of Committee Assignments.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that right now there are three standing committees, the
Executive Committee, the Personnel Committee and the Budget Committee. There
have been discussions that each Board member should sit on at least one standing
committee. He suggested that he and John Chaney remain on the Executive
Committee; that Mark Conkling and Guy McDowell be on the Budget Committee;
and that he and Richard Deubel be on the Personnel Committee.

Mr. Metzgar stated that the only standing committee with a designated
membership is the Executive Committee. The resolution states that the Executive
Committee consists of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman and the Executive Director.
Other than that, all other committee members can be appointed by the Chair.

The Chair’s nominations for the three committee’s were accepted and are as
follows:

Executive Committee  – Chairman Yarbrough
Vice-Chair Chaney
Executive Director Stoliker

Personnel Committee  – Chairman Yarbrough
Secretary Deubel
Mr. Metzgar
Executive Director Stoliker

Budget Committee  – Treasurer Conkling
Director McDowell
Mr. Baird
Executive Director Stoliker
4. Discussion of Possible Action on Determining the Cost of Raising the Corrales Road Bridge over the Harvey Jones Channel.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that he and David Stoliker had discussed doing a preliminary study on raising the Corrales Bridge. To raise the bridge, you would have to change the approaches for the line of sight problems. That could impact the access for the property owners along the longer approaches. Some property may have to be purchased or relocated. It would not have to be a full-blown design. Mr. Yarbrough would like to see a task order generated to do the very minimum because it is very possible that a dam will be built upstream.

A motion was made by Dub Yarbrough to direct David and staff to enter into a task order to do the minimum amount of study to find out what needs to be looked at for raising the bridge. It was seconded by Guy McDowell.

Mr. Deubel stated that his initial feeling is that this is money that will not be very well spent. Anything SSCAFCA comes up with will have to be approved by the New Mexico State Highway Department. He would like the Highway Department to be involved with something like this. Mr. Yarbrough stated that this would be a minimum study about the approaches and whether they will affect the neighbors and their access and, if so, whether SSCAFCA would have to purchase any property.

Mr. McDowell suggested widening the bridge as it goes under the box culvert, rather than raising it. Mr. Chaney asked if this study is timely. Mr. Stoliker stated that there is a lot going on right now. He has had the task order with BHI prepared and it is for $27,650. The reason he has not pursued it is because he would like to see the bond election happen first. Mr. Deubel stated that SSCAFCA has forecasted from the budget its use of funds and has set priorities. Under the priorities, the potential bridge shows up at least six years out.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the whole idea of the bond election was to pursue the dam site. If SSCAFCA pursues raising the bridge and evaluating that, he wonders if it would send a mixed signal on the bond election. Secondly, he has checked with the Highway Department and the bridge is not on their radar screen right now. He would like to do the study, but he thought it should be later. As always, staff will do as the Board directs.

In light of the feelings of the Board, Mr. Yarbrough stated that he was withdrawing his motion.
1. Discussion/Approval of MRGCOG Draft Bylaws for UTPPB.

Mr. Chris Blewitt, Director of Transportation and Planning Services at the Council of Governments, stated that he has provided proposed bylaws for the Urban Transportation Planning Policy Board. He stated that the Board has been in existence for 25 years, but does not have any Bylaws. There have been issues surface over the years related to that fact with regard to defining membership, elections, the number of representatives, etc. They have attempted to put together a set of draft bylaws that represent current practice, with a couple of exceptions.

The first is, since the membership for both the actual members and the alternates was never spelled out, they have attempted to make it clear that the intent is to have people serve on the board that are either elected officials or chief executives and, in the case of alternates, people who report to chief executives.

The second matter relates to attendance. There is a statement that if you miss three of the policy board meetings, which occur once a month, the chair can decide to request that the member government replace the member that has been absent, or suspend their right to vote for the same number of meetings that they missed. Other than those two things, they have tried to define the relationship of the board, define its membership, and describe what it does and how it does it. The other proposed change is to rename the board from the Urban Transportation Planning Policy Board to the Metropolitan Transportation Board.

Mr. Chaney stated that he is the elected member from the SSCAFCA Board to the MRGCOG board. However, he does not have the time to devote to attending all the meetings that he needs to attend. He would like to suggest, unless there is a member on the SSCAFCA Board who is retired and would like to devote themselves to several meetings a month and be an effective participant in MRGCOG, that one of the members of the staff go to all these meetings and, if something comes up that needs to be brought to the attention of the Board, it can be brought by staff.

Mr. Stoliker stated that just to go to a meeting takes a half day. The City of Albuquerque has six members, Bernalillo County has three members, SSCAFCA has one, Sandoval County has one, etc. Albuquerque has staff that will attend and most of the time, SSCAFCA won’t. If SSCAFCA misses its meetings and can’t vote the next time, it has lost voting power. SSCAFCA spends $1,000.00 per year to
support this organization. Mr. Stoliker does not agree with some of the provisions in the proposed bylaws. Mr. Chaney stated that suspending the voting rights for punitive reasons does not really fit with why the board was created. He believes that SSCAFCA should be able to appoint staff to go to the meetings rather than require a board member to attend the meetings.

Mr. Blewitt stated that all federal transportation dollars for this reason flow through this organization. He stated that he does not know if each individual board member gets an agenda. He will take the Board’s comments regarding voting rights back to the board. He does not think the Council of Governments is a loose organization. His only point about the bylaws was that they didn’t have them and he thinks that they should have something set out.

Mr. Metzgar stated that Article II, organization of membership, could be misinterpreted. In one way it speaks of member governments and it doesn’t speak about special units of government. When you say that board members shall be elected officials of MRGCOG, it doesn’t say that they’re asking that the special units of government also be the chief executive officer or anything like that. He stated that they might want to clarify that issue. Mr. Blewitt stated that Article I, Definitions, defines member governments as those local and general and special purpose units but that he will see if further clarification is needed.

Mr. Stoliker stated that SSCAFCA also sits on a water resources board. Bob Foglesong goes to TPTG (Transportation Program Task Group), which is a level below the UTPPB.

A motion was made by John Chaney that, unless a member of the board wants to go to a particular meeting, SSCAFCA allow the Executive Director to go or appoint a staff member to go to the various MRGCOG meetings. It was seconded by Mark Conkling.

Mr. Tony Popper stated that SSCAFCA could send someone to the meetings who was vitally interested in protecting the SSCAFCA Board that would not necessarily have to be a member of staff or a Board member. Mr. Blewitt stated that if these bylaws are passed, that could not be allowed. The representative from Rio Rancho right now is a private citizen. The question really isn’t whether or not a private citizen could do a good job on these boards as much as it is when you’re voting on something that might be very near and dear to your constituency, if you aren’t communicating with that private citizen, you may create some issues relative
to your organization. That is one of the reasons that it is not provided for in the proposed bylaws.

Mr. Chaney stated that whoever attends these meetings should at least report to the Executive Committee about what happened at each MRGCOG meeting. The Executive Committee could then report to the Board any thing that needed to be brought to its attention. Mr. Deubel stated that it would be too late if a vote was taken at the meeting. Mr. Metzgar stated that Mr. Chaney might want to make his motion more specific in light of the bylaws that will most likely be passed, in that Mr. Stoliker name the member and also name a couple of alternates, which could then go to the particular meeting.

Mr. Chaney amended his motion to state that, unless a member of the board wants to go to a particular meeting, SSCAFCA allow the Executive Director to go or appoint a staff member, with alternates, to go to the various MRGCOG meetings so that it conforms with the bylaws. It was seconded by Mark Conkling. The motion passed unanimously.

2. Discussion/Action on the Issue of Charging a Fee for Excess Sediment/Gravel.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that Sandoval County has asked for more sediment for the landfill. He stated that SSCAFCA paid its maintenance contractor to excavate the sediment from the outlet of the Harvey Jones Channel and part of the sediment out of the inlet. All of that sediment went to the Sandoval County landfill.

Mr. Stoliker stated that Mike Foster is present and is in charge of the landfill. Frank Marquez is also present. Approximately three years ago, SSCAFCA worked with the County to have some material removed from the Northern Boulevard sedimentation basin. Last year, additional material was requested by the landfill. Again, last week additional material was requested by the landfill. During the phone call, Mr. Stoliker asked to set up a meeting with the County, as this appears to be an ongoing issue. He believes the County took offense to that. Mr. Marquez is here at Mr. Chaney’s request to discuss the issue. Mr. Stoliker stated that if this is a recurring issue, he wants to make sure that everything is in writing to make sure that SSCAFCA is being protected. Mr. Yarbrough stated that there is a certain amount of value for the sediment. SSCAFCA paid $86,000.00 for the excavation of the sediment from the Harvey Jones outlet and the channel. Sandoval County furnished some dump trucks, but SSCAFCA paid the contractor for the use of front end loaders and dump trucks.
Mr. Stoliker stated that in the past sediment needed to be removed. The County needed the material. The entities worked together, and both won. If it happens on a continuous basis, Mr. Stoliker wants to ensure that all bases are covered. If there is value that can be afforded to either party, both parties should share in that. Mr. Yarbrough stated that the sediment accumulates from the flow down the arroyos into the Harvey Jones Inlet Channel and there is a sediment basin there. Some of it goes through and goes under the Corrales Bridge and into the river. The silt mostly drops out before it gets to the river.

Mr. Frank Marquez stated that he did take exception to being asked to consider paying for the sediment. In the last two years, Sandoval County has hauled approximately 80,000 yards of arroyo sand from the arroyos to the landfill. The primary use of the sand is to protect the liners as they build their cells. That is a benefit for everybody. The excavation of the sediment is estimated at $3.00 per square yard, and the County has saved SSCAFCA about $250,000.00 in dredging costs. He stated that AMAFCA told him that they have never charged Bernalillo County or the City of Albuquerque for sediment.

Mr. Stoliker stated that whenever a project goes from a one time project to a continuing project, they sit down and talk about the issues. This issue came up because Mike Foster called Mr. Service of the SSCAFCA staff and asked for more sediment. Mr. Stoliker stated that he suggested sitting down with the County to see if they could discuss the matter, including costs. Mr. Conkling stated that he believes that the spirit of cooperation is growing among governmental agencies and this issue is going in the opposite direction.

A motion was made by Mark Conkling to continue to work cooperatively with Sandoval County as in the past on sediment removal. It was seconded by John Chaney.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that SSCAFCA paid $86,000.00 for the excavation of the sediment. The County has a need for the sediment and, in the past, SSCAFCA has contracted work like this out. He believes it is reasonable that SSCAFCA should get a portion of its costs back. Mr. Chaney stated that, on the other hand, Sandoval County also does things that benefits SSCAFCA without expecting payment. Mr. Conkling stated that if Mr. Stoliker wants to make the matter predictable, give Sandoval County the dirt every time.
Mr. Yarbrough stated that they had a recent excavation of the Venada Arroyo and the contractor gave SSCAFCA probably half the going cost to excavate it because SSCAFCA allowed him to dispose of the dirt on his own. Mr. Marquez stated that if SSCAFCA did not have the fill dirt available to the County, the County would probably pay nothing for the sediment. Mr. Foster stated that the only amount brought to Sandoval County was 5,624 cubic yards. SSCAFCA paid the contractor $14.00 per yard to haul it off. The material that was brought to the County was too wet and they still can’t push it. They got a variance from the State to accept the silt. The only reason they took the sediment from SSCAFCA was because SSCAFCA was in a big hurry to get rid of it before the rains came. The County could get sediment from private land owners and the City of Rio Rancho at no cost.

Mr. Marquez stated that they are at a critical point right now in that they need the 4,000 yards of fill dirt. It costs the taxpayers money by holding up this project. Mr. Stoliker stated that they didn’t know about the County’s project until last week. This is part of the need for an ongoing discussion. Mr. Stoliker stated that SSCAFCA does not need any additional fill removed from any of its facilities at this time as they are back to original design. To move them to the next step, SSCAFCA needs to contact the Corps to see if it is okay, because it goes beyond design intent. The fill that the County needs would come out of the arroyo at the inlet to the Harvey Jones, which right now is in great shape. Mr. Yarbrough stated that the material removed from the outlet was “soupy,” but the material removed from the inlet was not wet.

Mr. McDowell stated that if the County needs the dirt, they should come take it away, at the County’s cost. There should be some way to do this without it costing either entity anything. Mr. Yarbrough stated that before this goes any further, the County of Sandoval needs 4,000 cubic yards of fill dirt. He has been told by David Stoliker that SSCAFCA can come up with 4,000 cubic yards of dirt. If the County wants to excavate the dirt, at the County’s cost, they can have it. SSCAFCA will designate, within perimeters, where it comes from. Before SSCAFCA goes any further with more fill dirt, the entities will sit down together, in the spirit of cooperation, and work this thing out to benefit everybody. Mr. Deubel stated that, rather than have an agreement solely with Sandoval County, he would like to give SSCAFCA staff 90 days to come up with a draft policy to include all entities that might be interested in the sediment.

The motion was amended by Mark Conkling to continue to work with Sandoval County as in the past on sediment removal for the 4000 cubic yards and that staff
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bring to the Board a draft policy for future sediment removal. It was seconded by John Chaney.

Roll call vote: John Chaney, yes; Mark Conkling, yes; Richard Deubel, yes; Guy McDowell, yes; Dub Yarbrough, yes. Motion passed 5-0.

ATTORNEY’S REPORT.


Mr. Metzgar stated that he had read the insurance and liability policy and SSCAFCA has an exclusion for the collapse or failure of any dam, levy or dike described, or the discharge, release or escape of any water from such dam, levy or dike. The insurance agent, Morey Carpenter, from Lujan Agency, has said that SSCAFCA is protected in all of its structures and that he will send a letter from the underwriter that will assure that SSCAFCA is protected. Mr. Carpenter stated that the definition does not include SSCAFCA structures and that SSCAFCA will be protected on liability coverage. A request was made to provide written confirmation of this.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT.

1. Action/Approval of Alternate “B” for the Black Arroyo Watershed Management Plan Recommended by ASCG.

Mr. Stoliker stated that SSCAFCA is now ready to make a selection on the alternatives. Mr. Clint Dodge stated that they have looked at all alternates for the Black Watershed Management Plan. Many of the existing facilities in place are undersized, inefficient, or do not have right of way. The red lines on the map are channels which are unstable; the green are structures (such as culverts or crossing structures) which are undersized; the yellow are existing natural arroyos which SSCAFCA does not have right of way for. The channels are mostly earthen channels, but some of them have drop structures and some have grass.

Alternate A will let the water run to the bottom of the watershed and a facility will be put in at the bottom of the watershed to increase the storage volume to protect the Black Dam. The basic problem in the whole watershed is that Black Dam is undersized. Alternate B has facilities scattered in the watershed. The location of the sites is hypothetical at this time. The circles represent storm water quality detention and the triangles represent flood water retention. These alternatives
consider 100% build out. There is a large regional site at the bottom of the watershed for multiple uses with Alternate A. Alternate B has slightly less cost and there are multiple use opportunities all over the watershed. There is more flexibility with the water quality treatment, and less right-of-way required. They were not designing for specific water quality. They are allowing a cost and setting aside right-of-way for water quality facilities to capture and treat the first quarter inch of run-off.

They looked at the impact on the existing facilities, which are undersized. Alternate B is clearly better than Alternate A as far as the impact on the existing facilities because it slows the water down upstream in the watershed. Since the last meeting that these matters were discussed, they have taken Alternate A and Alternate B to the public. They had six public comments, two favoring Alternate B and the other four discussing specific minor design details within the alternates. They made two presentations to the Rio Rancho Council, the first one in February and the second in April. At the April presentation, the Rio Rancho Council recommended Alternate B. AMAFCA has reviewed both alternates and has recommended Alternate B as well. He recommends Alternate B, based on the fact that it is the safest alternate, the construction can be phased easier, it is more environmentally friendly, and it is cheaper.

Mr. Dodge stated that the second part has to do with the plan components. Once they have an alternate, they will put together the plan. The plan components are that they have the Black Dam at the bottom and they will incorporate stable, non-erosive conveyance for all of these facilities. They will incorporate storm water quality, multiple uses, and they will make it as environmentally friendly as they can. They will have the financial plan, which includes cost for each piece, and they will propose interim drainage controls. The final build out is estimated at 50 years for the entire project. The interim plan is to prevent or minimize development right next to the arroyo where it is high risk. The plan is to identify how far the arroyo could meander and implementing a system through the City to let the homeowners know that it is a risky area to build. There are a couple of areas where they want to restrict the historic run-off until the dams are in place.

Mr. Stoliker stated that it is critical that SSCAFCA be able to work with the City of Rio Rancho because part of this project will identify and let the City implement the policies to keep the homeowners away from the arroyo. Mr. Dodge stated that the storm drain in Golf Course Road is currently sized for developed flows with these diversions in place. Based on the Wilson calculation, if the properties are restricted to the existing or historic flow rate, the storm drain is okay. The litigation that Unit 16 is currently in will have an impact on this alternative.
A motion was made by John Chaney to adopt Alternate "B" as presented and recommended. It was seconded by Mark Conkling and passed unanimously.

2. **SSCAFCA Bond Election Absentee Balloting Begins July 30, 2002 in Sandoval County Courthouse.**

   Mr. Stoliker stated that bond election absentee balloting begins July 30, 2002 at the Sandoval County Courthouse from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. daily and will last until August 23, 2002.

**FOR BOARD INFORMATION:**

1. **Draft Vision/Mission Statements.**

   Mr. Stoliker stated that these are contained in the Board’s packets. Staff is also asking consultants to provide them. He is trying to bring to the Board, around the beginning of fall, a mission and vision statements for approval.

2. **Public Service Announcement Regarding Safety within the Arroyos.**

   Mr. Stoliker stated that this is contained in the Board’s packets.

3. **April 24, 2002 letter to Sylvia Roybal Requesting Venada Arroyo Watershed Management Plan Presentation to the Town Council of Bernalillo.**

   Mr. Stoliker stated that this is contained in the Board’s packets.

4. **April 26, 2002 Letter to Governmental Agencies within the Jurisdiction Regarding Sandbag Distribution.**

   Mr. Stoliker stated that this is contained in the Board’s packets. This is a cooperative effort to provide sandbags to all entities within SSCAFCA’s jurisdiction.


   Mr. Stoliker stated that this is contained in the Board’s packets.
6. **April 9, 2002 Executive Standing Committee Meeting Minutes.**
   
   Mr. Stoliker stated that these are contained in the Board’s packets.

7. **A Pipe Installation Seminar was hosted by SSCAFCA on May 14, 2002.**
   
   Mr. Stoliker stated that this is contained in the Board’s packets.

8. **April 30, 2002 Personnel Committee Meeting Minutes.**
   
   Mr. Stoliker stated that these are contained in the Board’s packets.

9. **SSCAFCA Office Building.**
   i) **Application for Payment #1 in the Amount of $83,904.05 was paid on 05/10/02.**
   ii) **Retainage Agreement.**
   iii) **The Monolithic Slab was placed on 05/18/02.**

   Mr. Stoliker stated that this information is contained in the Board’s packets.

10. **Tierra Encantada Drainage Improvements Construction is Complete.**

    Mr. Stoliker stated that this is contained in the Board’s packets.

11. **May 10, 2002 Letter to Calvin Cross Regarding Enchanted Hills Drainage Concerns.**

    Mr. Stoliker stated that this is contained in the Board’s packets.

**CLOSED SESSION.**

A motion was made by John Chaney to go into closed session for the purpose of personnel matters; purchase or acquisition of real property by SSCAFCA; and potential litigation. It was seconded by Mark Conkling. Roll call vote: John Chaney, yes; Mark Conkling, yes; Richard Deubel, yes; Guy McDowell, yes; Dub Yarbrough, yes. The motion passed 5-0.

The Board went into closed session at 4:30 p.m.
RESUME OPEN SESSION.

A motion was made by John Chaney to resume open session. It was seconded by Mark Conkling and passed unanimously.

Mark Conkling left the meeting at 4:55 p.m.

John Chaney left the meeting at 5:30 p.m.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that the only matters discussed in the closed session were personnel matters; the purchase or acquisition of real property by SSCAFCA; and potential litigation and that no action was taken.

A motion was made by Richard Deubel to accept the Personnel Committee recommendation to renew David Stoliker’s contract upon its termination, with a review of the contract. It was seconded by Guy McDowell and passed unanimously.

OTHER BUSINESS.

None.

ADJOURNMENT.

A motion was made by Richard Deubel and seconded by Guy McDowell to adjourn the meeting. It was carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 6:20 p.m.

WM. C. YARBROUGH
Chairman

RICHARD DEUBEL
Secretary
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