

**SOUTHERN SANDOVAL COUNTY ARROYO FLOOD CONTROL AUTHORITY  
(SSCAFCA)  
MINUTES OF JULY 23, 2010  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING**

**CALL TO ORDER.**

The regular meeting of the SSCAFCA Board of Directors was called to order by Mark Conkling, Chairman, at 9:02 a.m.

**ROLL CALL OF DIRECTORS.**

Directors in attendance were Mark Conkling, Steve House, Donald Rudy and Dub Yarbrough. John Chaney was noted as absent. Bernard Metzgar, SSCAFCA's attorney and David Stoliker, Executive Director, were present.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.**

The Board was led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Mark Conkling.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA.**

Mr. Conkling stated that he would like to move Public Forum to immediately after the announcements.

A motion was made by Donald Rudy to accept the Agenda as amended. It was seconded by Steve House and passed unanimously.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS.**

Announcements were made by Mark Conkling that all electronic devices needed to be turned off during the meeting.

**PUBLIC FORUM.**

Don Widener, a resident of Rio Rancho, stated that when the retention pond was built it drains into the Sunset Channel and drains down and goes under Lisbon Avenue and then flows down alongside his property. Four years ago when they had the 100 year flood, he was told by the City that the two foot culvert was not big enough for normal runoff by the City and that it would be replaced. When the pond was being built, he and his neighbors were concerned. In September 2008, he called SSCAFCA and had a meeting with Trevor Alsop. They were told that it was a problem, but that it was the City's problem, even though it was connected to SSCAFCA's arroyo. The City had told him that the work would be done before spring of 2009.

Mr. Conkling stated that the Executive Committee met about this particular problem and the request from the City to cost share on fixing the problem. It was determined that it was a mistake made when it was built, but it is not known who made the mistake. It is regional in its impact. The Executive Committee has recommended that this request be approved.

Mr. Stoliker stated that SSCAFCA does not have all the background information, but is sure that the City will provide the information. All that is in SSCAFCA's possession is a letter requesting the funds. Mr. Stoliker stated that this is in the outlet for Sunset Dam and is a regional facility. The work was done so long ago that the impacts were probably not understood. The JPA and final fix will be brought back to the Board before it is final.

A motion was made by Steve House for conceptual approval for SSCAFCA to provide up to \$68,920 to solve this regional flood control problem. It was seconded by Donald Rudy and passed unanimously.

**STAFF REPORTS.**

**Executive Engineer:**

1. Action/Acceptance of Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Albuquerque for participation in the Employee Benefits Program.

Mr. Stoliker stated that this agreement is the same as SSCAFCA had last year and addresses SSCAFCA's health benefits. With this, SSCAFCA is able to go in with the City of Albuquerque to get health, dental and eye insurance.

A motion was made by Steve House to accept the Intergovernmental Agreement as presented. It was seconded by Donald Rudy and passed unanimously.

2. Presentation of Dos Amigos Facility Plan.

Mr. Stoliker stated that this is the Unser Widening project. Donnie Duneman, Wilson & Company, stated that the Dos Amigos subbasin is near City Center, is about 800 acres and encompasses four City of Rio Rancho planning areas, Sierra Vista, Dos Amigos, City Center, and Paseo Gateway West. The Montoyas Arroyo is in the area. There is currently little development in the subbasin. It consists of Cielo Grande Subdivision, about 130 homes at the corner of Paseo del Volcan and Unser; and three existing single homes in the area. The reason this Facility Plan came about is because of the Unser Boulevard Phase II widening project. This project widens the road from two undivided lanes to four divided lanes from Cherry Boulevard to Progress Boulevard. Because of funding, the project was cut into three projects, Phase II-A from

Paseo del Volcan to King Boulevard; Phase II-B from Cherry to Paseo del Volcan; and Phase II-C from King Boulevard to Progress. The overall cost of the project, including right-of-way acquisition, construction, etc. is about \$40 million. The City currently has funding for Unser Boulevard II-A project. The drainage outlet travels down Unser along 26th Avenue through Pond DA with an outlet to the Montoyas Arroyo.

Mr. Duneman stated that the original design handled runoff from Unser Boulevard only and had a 24 inch pipe discharging from the pond to the Montoyas Arroyo. It was not sized to accommodate all runoff from the entire subbasin. The storm drain system was about \$3 million. The City is planning to start construction on this project in mid-November. The option they came up with was Option 6, which consists of five ponding areas outlined in light blue. One of the five ponds is currently in the Unser Boulevard Phase II-A plans and was proposed as part of that project and is in dark blue. The purpose of the Dos Amigos Facility Plan is to upsize the pipe that goes along 17th Street shown in blue. A 60 to 70 inch pipe is what is needed to accommodate runoff in the basin. The excess cost over the original 24 inch pipe is \$350,000.00. Excess costs outside of Unser Boulevard is about \$10.3 million with right-of-way and construction costs.

Mr. Duneman stated that the City is requesting approval of the Dos Amigos Facility Plan and the JPA for funding and construction of \$350,000.00 for upsizing the storm drain along 17th Street from Pond D-A to the Montoyas Arroyo. Mr. Yarbrough asked about 90 degree bends in the flow of the pipe. Mr. Duneman stated that this is a schematic and that they are not actually 90 degree bends. The piping runs down the center of the proposed roadway.

Mr. Conkling stated that SSCAFCA believes that the best place to solve drainage problems at the lowest cost in Rio Rancho is at the source, which has to do with rainwater management on individual lots. The cost could be reduced by at least half if there was a strategy for causing all of those undeveloped lots to manage their own rainwater. SSCAFCA will cooperate with the City with regard to the cost for the upsizing of the pipe because it makes sense. At the same time, SSCAFCA sees an opportunity to assume liability for the entire area because it is the first time to gather together unchanged single family half acre lots. It is not being replatted so it doesn't come under the normal subdivision regulations. This presents an opportunity in these economic and environmentally sensitive times to save money and appeal to the hearts of environmental logic to take care of cleaning up the water and stopping the problem at its source. He asked if the \$10 million forecast presumed full development on half acre lots with no individual rain water management. Mr. Duneman stated that was correct.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the discussion is how to truly address the runoff, erosion, etc., for years to come. The concept is to reduce rainfall runoff to acceptable levels. You can capture the roof runoff and reuse it onsite. Trevor Alsop has found that the City

of Santa Fe is actually doing this right now. The idea is to reduce impermeable areas. One example would be the crusher fine parking lot which SSCAFCA has. These concepts need to be standardized and put into an acceptable format for development.

Mr. Clint Dodge stated that the first section is historic, i.e., before Rio Rancho Estates. The columns show the runoff volume in a given year on the average for a long period of time, the frequency of those events every year, and the peak flood control flow. Historically there was .2 inches of runoff per year on the average. Once it was subdivided, it goes to .5. And when it's built out completely, its 2.3 inches per year of runoff. These are all based on half acre/SFR lots. The frequency goes from having a runoff event once every two years to over one to 20 events per year after it is all built out. The other thing that is important is that the peak runoff doesn't increase that much.

Mr. Dodge stated that the blue in the charts are the traditional ways to address this problem. The very first are detention ponds which typically restrict the peak flow to historic rates. The water quality problem is addressed with the existing water quality project to hold the annual storm runoff. This does not really reduce the peak flows. The bottom green shows attempts to mimic the historic runoff using a combination of roof runoff and landscaping, impervious areas, some retention, and some on lot detention to reduce the frequency, the volume and the flood control options.

Mr. Conkling stated that all of these strategies could be combined in a single depressed area on a lot or they could be run off with gutters into gardens, or use crusher fines for driveways. They are combinations of ways to bring it to historic. Mr. Stoliker stated that it is the smaller events that actually move the most sediment because there are more of them. There are so many ways to reduce the runoff and hold it on each lot. The next thing would be to improve water quality. The runoff is 10,000 gallons per second in a 100 year event. Potential issues with this solution are acceptance by the building community, increased costs to construct by the property owner, an offset where it could be possible to reduce impact fees entirely. There is also a permanence issue – it can't be done by one property owner and then the next property owner changes it. The biggest is the enforcement issue. Mr. Stoliker stated that master planned communities will not go away and will continue to be built. They do a better job of taking care of their own drainage. Single lot development has always been the issue. This approach will not address all runoff and will require some infrastructure to be built for roadways, etc.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he has asked Clint, Howard and Kim to prepare task orders and bring them back to the next meeting.

3. Discussion/Action of Joint Powers Agreement for Unser Roadway Widening and Dos Amigos Sub Basin Drainage Improvements.

Mr. Stoliker stated that the JPA provides \$350,000.00 to the City in exchange for receiving impact fees in the Dos Amigos Subbasin of about \$3.5 million. The standard engineering solution would cost about \$10 or \$12 million. Item 2.5 states that SSCAFCA would be responsible for completing the remaining portions of the infrastructure needed to complete the Dos Amigos Drainage Facility Plan with two conditions: if funds are available to SSCAFCA and if the City has implemented an on lot rainfall management ordinance for new construction. The City will receive the money, but SSCAFCA will only be responsible for all the rest of what is contained in the JPA based on those two conditions. Under Item 3.1, it states that SSCAFCA and the City have formed a cooperative partnership with the City wherein a project team will review it and go over Mr. Dodge's report and develop this concept jointly.

A motion was made by Donald Rudy to approve the JPA as presented, subject to the Executive Committee modification with the City and attorney changes.

Mr. Conkling stated that an additional responsibility assigned to the committee shall be to provide jointly approved on-lot rainwater management solutions which may provide either short or long term cost savings. Mr. Stoliker stated that this JPA had just been delivered to the City and they hadn't had a chance to look at it. If it is approved, it will need to be such that maybe the Executive Committee could work with the City in case the City wants changes. Mr. Metzgar stated that there are also changes that need to be done which he is aware of right now. That is, the JPA statute indicates that you have to have a termination time and this one is not sufficient.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that he is concerned about SSCAFCA not receiving the impact fees until something is built, which could be quite some time. Mr. Conkling stated that, in the meantime, the only sum that SSCAFCA is out is \$350,000.00. Mr. Yarbrough is also concerned about SSCAFCA saying that it will assume all drainage liability in the future and committing a future Board to something. Mr. Conkling stated that if this works, SSCAFCA's cost is cut by \$5 million, which is a pretty nice payback. Mr. Yarbrough stated that it's not a payback, it's a savings. Mr. Rudy stated that he does not want this action to be considered as setting any kind of precedent on what might happen in the future.

Mr. Rudy restated his motion on approval of the JPA. It was seconded by Steve House and carried unanimously.

4. Presentation of Rainfall Harvesting and Management Program Overview.
5. Discussion/Acceptance of Updated "2008 Bond Election Schedule of Projects".

Mr. Stoliker stated that the 2010 issue contains the following projects: Sugar Dam design for \$62,000.00 and right-of-way acquisition for \$88,000.00 for a total of \$150,000.00; Tributary A right-of-way acquisition in the amount of \$200,000.00. \$1.2 million for the West Branch for converting leased property to right-of-way acquisition. The next one is the Unser pipeline for \$350,000.00 that the Board just approved conceptually. There is also the request from the City for \$400,000.00, which is SAD 9 and is coming up next. Staff has budgeted this amount pursuant to this request. La Barrancas City Center for \$550,000.00. Most of that has already been purchased from the State Land Office for \$400,000.00. Facility planning is already being done. There is a JPA with the Town of Bernalillo. At the very bottom, Unser Crossing is listed at \$400,000.00. This will be for Petroglyph Medical Plaza that is also coming up later in the meeting.

Mr. Conkling stated that he would like to defer voting on this matter until after hearing the projects affected.

6. Action/Acceptance of Request from City of Rio Rancho for \$400,000 designed for cost sharing SAD 9 Regional Storm Drainage Facility.

Mr. Stoliker stated that SSCAFCA received a letter from the City asking that SSCAFCA cost share in the \$400,000.00 amount. Scott Sensanbaugher, of the City of Rio Rancho, stated that the hearing was held on this issue at the most recent meeting which was last week. At that meeting, the City received numerous comments from the affected property owners. At this stage, the City is compiling the results and will be formally presenting that to the governing body at the August 11th meeting. At that meeting, the decision will be made whether or not to proceed with SAD 9 or to end the SAD. Mr. Stoliker stated that his understanding is that, for the City to move forward, they need SSCAFCA's approval "in concept." The City will then come back to SSCAFCA with final numbers at a later date. Mr. Yarbrough asked about the southern limits of the SAD 9. Mr. Sensanbaugher stated that as it is currently proposed, the southern boundary is actually well north of the Barrancas Arroyo.

A motion was made by Dub Yarbrough to accept the request for the City as presented, up to the amount of \$400,000.00, but reduced proportionately if the Council reduces the area. It was seconded by Steve House and passed unanimously.

7. Action/Acceptance of Task-Order Request for Bohannon Huston for Drainage Structures at Gateway Dam in the amount of \$93,270.

Mr. Howard Stone stated that in reviewing the situation in the field, they have put a task order together to look at the hydrology in the area to ensure the size and structure to be added that will go underneath Unser Boulevard. There are only three basins that contribute to the crossing structure. The second part of the task order is to do

a design with two options, for both cut and cover and a bore and jack to see what costs come in to see which is most beneficial. The total amount of the task order is \$93,270.00.

They would not do two task orders that had previously been approved in Montoyas. One of those was a stabilization project to design a protection system for an area upstream of the Sportsplex Dam where there is a pretty big cutback. This is something that can wait and so this task order will be deferred. The second is a drop structure to be designed between Sportsplex Dam and 528. This task order will also be deferred rather than deleted. These two task orders together total \$94,130.

Mr. Conkling stated that if SSCAFCA puts more money into the project, everybody can get what they want and SSCAFCA's park won't have to be scrapped. Mr. Stoliker stated that if SSCAFCA puts the money into it and does the project, it needs to get back with the developer and with the City to redo the agreements to ensure that everybody knows exactly who is doing what. Also, the City has not committed to allowing SSCAFCA to open trench. They are allowing SSCAFCA to look at the cost of it and if it makes sense and there's a good traffic control with which the City can agree, then the City may allow SSCAFCA to open trench.

Mr. Yarbrough stated that he was upset about losing the park. Mr. Conkling stated that the park had been sacrificed because of costs, but now SSCAFCA is coming back and revisiting the project and helping with the cost to save the park. Mr. Stoliker stated that the development has committed a certain amount of acreage to build an additional pond. They have already secured the right-of-way. The developer is very committed to working with SSCAFCA, but can't make the numbers work because so much was spent on the right-of-way. The City is trying to help SSCAFCA get the drainage it wants before the City gets what it wants, which was the park. By putting in some more money, everybody can get what they want. SSCAFCA has all the property north of 19th. This area is south of that and is a thin strip. The City owns it and SSCAFCA has an easement that was granted to it by the City

A motion was made by Steve House to accept the task order as presented. It was seconded by Donald Rudy.

Ron Reader, Corrales resident, asked how long the two other projects will be deferred to take care of this project. Mr. Stoliker stated that the plan had been to put it on the next bond election for 2012, so it would be delayed about three years. But, if SSCAFCA is able to reduce the proportionate share of the SAD 9, it may be done next year. Mr. Rudy stated that SSCAFCA had entered into agreements with the City and the developer and he believes it is inappropriate for SSCAFCA to take the hit money wise because someone ran out of money. Mr. Stoliker stated that if this doesn't get done now,

SSCAFCA will be in there a year or two from now and that will cause a lot of consternation. This will solve a lot of issues with the hospital now.

The motion passed unanimously.

8. Discussion of Calle Baack Storm Drain/SAD 8 Pond Outfall.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he wanted the Board to see that staff was trying to get some planning work done on Calle Baack/SAD 8. The City is almost finished with the pond. The cost is between \$600,000.00 and \$800,000.00 to put in a pipeline. A meeting is being set up with the Town to talk about it. SCAFCA does not have the money for the project at this time. The idea is to put it on the next bond election.

9. Discussion/Presentation of 2011-2015 Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan.

Mr. Stoliker stated that staff has to start preparing the infrastructure capital improvement plan for next month. They have included the last plan to the Board for review and possible comments with regard to projects to be done.

5. Discussion/Acceptance of Updated "2008 Bond Election Schedule of Projects". (continued)

A motion was made by Donald Rudy to approve the updated 2008 Bond Election Schedule of Projects as presented. It was seconded by Steve House and passed unanimously.

10. Discussion/Action of request from the City of Rio Rancho for \$68,920 to assist in the construction of the Sunset Channel Crossing at Lisbon Avenue.

Action taken earlier in meeting.

11. Action/Approval of Resolution 2010-11, Authorization to Enter Into Contracts and Commit Funds.

Mr. Stoliker stated that he would like to give certain staff authority to enter into contracts and commit certain funds. Debbie Casaus has reviewed it.

A motion was made by Steve House to approve Resolution 2010-11 as presented. It was seconded by Donald Rudy.



Mr. Yarbrough asked how many people would be able to sign checks. Ms. Casaus stated that there would be no change with regard to the people authorized to sign checks.

Roll call vote: Mark Conkling, yes; Steve House, yes; Donald Rudy, yes; Dub Yarbrough, yes. The motion passed 4-0.

12. Discussion of Ciudad Soil and Water Conservation District interface.

Mr. Stoliker stated that Ciudad Soil and Water Conservation District has approached him about becoming a director or an associate with them, or to simply participate in their meetings. He stated that one possible benefit could be in the way the EPA has set up the rules for getting grant funds. Specifically, permittees, like SSCAFCA, can't get grants if it's under a regulatory permit. However Ciudad may be able to because it is not a permitted entity. These grants may be used to perform planning efforts to attack the water quality issue. Mr. Rudy stated that he's not sure that SSCAFCA's Executive Engineer's time should be taken up with this or if he should be a board member. Other members indicated that it would be acceptable for Mr. Stoliker to be a Director as long as it was not too much time and interfered with his duties to SSCAFCA.

Mr. Conkling stated he would like to take a break right now and that he will not be back afterwards because he has a prior engagement. Mr. Rudy will be taking over the meeting.

**Fiscal Services Director:**

1. Recognition/Acknowledgment of the Fiscal Services Report for June 30, 2010.

Debbie Casaus stated that property tax collections for Fiscal Year 2010 exceeded projections by about \$120,000.00 in general fund and about \$110,000.00 in debt service fund. This will go into cash carry-over into Fiscal Year 2011 budget. Staff is communicating with the County, its Financial Advisor, and DFA to figure out what happened and why that was because SSCAFCA's projections were based on assessed valuation numbers. General Fund expenditures for June 30, 2010 amounted to 76% of the total budget. This shows that SSCAFCA had a savings of about 24% of its expected expenditures. This carries over into SSCAFCA's carry over balance for fiscal year 2011. The City of Rio Rancho residential permits are down from last year by about 37% same time last year. Interest on the State Treasurer's Fund was earned at a rate of .181%. SSCAFCA still has the two CDs with First Community Bank and Wells Fargo Bank and is earning about .7% at First Community and .33% at Wells Fargo. These have seven month and six month terms respectively.

Mr. Rudy recognized and acknowledged the receipt of the Fiscal Services Report for June 30, 2010.

2. Action/Approval of Resolution 2010-12, Budget for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2010 (Fiscal Year 2011).

Ms. Casaus stated that staff renumbered the Resolution numbers, so the Board's handouts contain the correct resolutions. This was done because staff just received the new SS valuation numbers from the County. Staff still believes this is somewhat preliminary and work still needs to be done on them. This Resolution is required by the State and needs to be submitted with the budget by July 31, 2010. This is done every year.

A motion was made by Steve House to approve Resolution 2010-12 as presented. It was seconded by Dub Yarbrough. Roll call vote: Steve House, yes; Donald Rudy, yes; Dub Yarbrough, yes. The motion passed 3-0.

3. Action/Approval of Resolution 2010-13, Ad Valorem Tax Levy for Tax Year 2010 (Fiscal Year 2011), Applicable to General Operating Fund.

Ms. Casaus stated that Resolution 2010-13 sets the tax levy for the general operating fund, which is proposed to be the same, so there is no change in the mil levy which remains at .753 for residential, .830 for non-residential.

A motion was made by Dub Yarbrough to approve Resolution 2010-13 as presented. It was seconded by Steve House. Roll call vote: Steve House, yes; Donald Rudy, yes; Dub Yarbrough, yes. The motion passed 3-0.

4. Action/Approval of Resolution 2010-14, Ad Valorem Tax Levy for Tax Year 2010 (Fiscal Year 2011), Applicable to Debt Service Fund.

Ms. Casaus stated that Resolution 2919-14 is the same as prior years as well.

A motion was made by Steve House to approve Resolution 2010-14 as presented. It was seconded by Dub Yarbrough. Roll call vote: Steve House, yes; Donald Rudy, yes; Dub Yarbrough, yes. The motion passed 3-0.

**ACTION/APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF JUNE 18, 2010.**

Mr. Rudy stated that the only other official action the Board needs to take is approval of the Minutes of June 18, 2010. It was suggested moving this matter in the

Agenda to occur now in the meeting. A motion was made by Dub Yarbrough to approve the minutes of June 18, 2010 as presented. It was seconded by Steve House and passed unanimously.

**Environmental Services Director:**

1. **Presentation of River Xchange Project.**

Trevor Alsop stated that this program was started in the school system last year. SSCAFCA had "piggybacked" off of the water utility which had a contract to do these education services for public school children. This was done to get the program done the past semester of the past school year. This will satisfy a requirement for SSCAFCA's EPA permit. Going forward, SSCAFCA has put out an RFP to continue these sorts of education programs.

Katie Babushka, the contractor who put together the program, stated that she owns a company called Experiential EE. "EE" means environmental education. She has been doing outreach in schools, grades K-12, for eight years in this area. River Xchange is an innovative, long term water resources, public outreach project created by her and her team here in New Mexico. It targets New Mexico fourth and fifth grade students and teachers. It is inquiry based with hands on curriculum with specific measurable goals. It is also free to all the participants. The goals of this project are to deepen teachers' and students' understanding of, and appreciation for, their local river ecosystem and watershed, motivate participants to protect their local water resources by conserving water and keeping source water clean, and finally, to provide a high quality, high impact outreach opportunity for funding partners and in-kind partners. SSCAFCA funded five partnerships. Experiential EE sets everything up for the teachers, which makes it easy for them and they want to participate.

Ms. Babushka stated that in Rio Rancho, they had Sandia Vista, Maggie Cordova, and Rio Rancho Elementary participate in the program for a total of 176 students and seven teachers from Rio Rancho. Classes are partnered with other classes from around the world and provided a private website on which to share their findings and ideas. The curriculum is designed to cover the whole school year. It uses water as its theme to teach the curriculum standards and benchmarks in multiple subject areas. This helps to increase the student and teacher knowledge. Her firm will be submitting an RFP to hopefully get SSCAFCA as a partnership provider. It is a cost of \$1,000.00 per partnership.

2. **Watershed Based Permit Update.**

Trevor Alsop stated that the SSCAFCA is currently in the third year of five of its MS4 permit. The permit will expire in 2012. The City of Albuquerque was just

issued another permit until the next permit comes into effect in 2012, which is a watershed based permit. EPA is pushing different permitting structures. Right now, everybody is sort of permitted individually and are all individually accountable based on municipal boundaries. The EPA is looking to go more toward structuring permits delineated on watershed boundaries instead. They are considering doing that here in the Middle Rio Grande area and will be a pilot project for the EPA. Right now, the big topic is delineating the watershed boundaries. The EPA considers the Cochiti watershed to be the watershed for the Middle Rio Grande area. A lot of the pueblos are in the area, but a big question is whether they will be permitted separately. Staff will work with EPA to answer some of these questions.

**Field Services Director:**

Mr. Jim Service stated that the West Side Boulevard Channel is in process. This is the channel on the south side of Westside Boulevard right by the hospital. There is a target completion date of March 15, 2011. All facilities are in great shape. Weed removal and upkeep are being done right now by his staff.

**CHAIRMAN'S REPORT.**

None.

**BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS.**

None.

**COMMITTEE REPORTS.**

None.

**ATTORNEY'S REPORT.**

None.

**FOR YOUR INFORMATION.**

Mr. Stoliker stated that the following was for Board information only and/or contained in the Board's packets:

1. June 18, 2010 correspondence from Chamiza Estates Neighborhood Association regarding pilot program to implement a flood management system.

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING**

**JULY 23, 2010**

2. Contract for the Purchase of Land from AMREP Southwest was executed on June 1, 2010.
3. CNM Plat was signed by the Chairman on July 7, 2010.
4. Harvey Jones Channel clean out from Corrales Road bridge to outlet completed June 23, 2010.
5. Westside Boulevard Channel started June 29, 2010; scheduled completion date March 2011. Engineer of Record: Rob Demeule of Huitt-Zollars, Inc.; Contractor: Mt. States.
6. Continued graffiti removal in Enchanted Hills system.
7. USGS Joint Funding Agreement Amendment to decrease SSCAFCA's contribution by \$5,925 was signed by the Executive Engineer on July 13, 2010.
8. July 13, 2010 correspondence to David Mathews, Sandoval County Attorney, regarding taxes on SSCAFCA owned properties.
9. July 9, 2010 correspondence to Ron Meyer regarding SAD 9.
10. Recorded Quitclaim Deed from AMREP Southwest, Inc. for Northern Meadows Drainage Pond.
11. July 19, 2010 newspaper article, "Impact Fees are Nothing More than a Hidden Tax."
12. Sandoval County Bureau of Elections Polling Locations Agreement.

**OTHER BUSINESS.**

The next Regular Board Meeting is on Friday, August 20, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

A motion was made by Dub Yarbrough and seconded by Donald Rudy to adjourn the meeting. It was carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 11:18 a.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK CONKLING  
CHAIRMAN

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING**

**JULY 23, 2010**



\_\_\_\_\_  
Steve House  
Secretary

DATE APPROVED: 9/24/10

H:\Board Meeting Minutes\Minutes 7.23.10.docx