Lisbon Pond Construction
SSCAFCA RFP #: 2020-05

ADDENDUM #2 (September 29, 2020)

Addendum #1 provides answers to questions submitted to date and revised plan sheets and bid schedule.

Document 1 – Revised plan sheets – replace sheets in original plan set with sheets in the file named “IFB 2020-05 Revised Sheets – Addendum 2”. Sheets replaced are: G-102, C-102, C-104, C-105, C-107, C-108, C-109, C-110, C-116, C-500, C-504, C-505, and C-510. Revisions to sheets from original are identified on each sheet.

Document 2 – Revised Bid Schedule – Replace pages in original project manual with the pages in the file named “IFB 2020-05 Revised Bid Schedule – Addendum 2”

Questions submitted to date. Responses are identified blue text.

1) Section 4.03 states “the required Site Visit”. Is this part of the bid schedule and how is it documented?
   In Article 5 of the Instructions to Bidders, each bidder represents that they have conducted a field visit. SSCAFCA will take this as evidence that the bidder has made the site visit.

2) Are there any requirements for the Archaeological Site that will be needed for the bid, other than on sheet G-106 (special permits, anticipated schedule delays, etc.)?
   Aside from installing the construction fence around the site as identified in the plans, the contractor will need to take no further action. In the event of discovery of archeological deposits during construction, General Condition 9 of the US Army Corps of Engineers Regional General 404 permit will need to be followed.

3) Will the CORR waive fees similar to previous SSCAFCA projects?
   The City of Rio Rancho has not indicated that they will waive fees for this particular project. Although they may, each bidder should be prepared to pay the required fees if they are awarded the project.

4) Is there a combined grading plan available with existing and design contours for the entire project limits?
   No but overall limits shown on Sheet G-101

5) Sheet C-102 depicts a blue legend for Type L Rip Rap around both sides of the emergency spillway. The legend has two conflicting sections, 18” dumped rip rap over 12” filter material versus 6” Rip Rap. This is a significant difference. Can you please confirm?
   Please refer to changes in plans issued for Addendum 2. Refer to armoring plan for details.

6) Can you please confirm the storm drainpipe is CMP per sheet C-504 or RCP per sheet C-506?
   a. Bid Item 22, under Principle Spillway references either Sanitite HP or RCP. Can you please clarify?

   All pipes for storm drains and culverts can be either Sanitite or RCP. CMP is not to be used anywhere apart from the riser pipe for the auxiliary pond. Refer to C-503 and C-C-504
7) Are bids allowed to be hand delivered to SSCAFCA Offices? Will an in-person public opening take place? 

Bids will be delivered to SSCAFCA’s office by the date and time indicated on the Invitation to Bid. The bids will be publicly opened shortly thereafter. In order to comply with the Governor’s health order, each bidder will be allowed to have one representative present during the bid opening and each person at the bid opening will be required to wear a face covering (i.e. mask).

8) Can the Item Number sequence be corrected? There are currently two items 17 and 18, etc. 

Addressed with Addendum 2.

9) Can the 5 items that are Allowances have a cost associated with them? 

a. Is each bidder required to bid the same amount for allowances? 

Refer to Addendum.

10) Are the over-excavation limits on sheet C-101 from existing elevation or final elevations? 

Over excavation limits are from existing elevations

11) Note 101 on sheet C-109 calls for Dumped Rip Rap. How thick is this and is there 12” gravel base underneath? 

Refer to changes in plans in Addendum 2. Refer to armoring plan for details.

12) Is the project designed to balance? 

a. If there is excess, does SSCAFCA want this left on site or removed entirely? 

The project is designed to balance. Excess material may be spread out over auxiliary ponds staging area. Final coordination to be done with SSCAFCA Facility Operations Director.

13) Item 2 has a bid quantity of 40,000 cy. Can you please confirm that this item includes the required over-excavation quantities as well as the required fills outside of the pond region? 

Please refer to addendum 2 for quantities. This quantity does include over excavation.

14) What is the method of determining cubic yard volume for item 2 per APWA 204 that will be acceptable and agreeable for payment? 

Refer to STS 1506.

15) For the 36” and 24” Culvert Pipe under Inca Road, the bid form states the specification is “TBD”. Has a specification been determined yet and what type of culvert pipe is acceptable? Same for Idalia Road and Auxiliary Pond items. 

Please refer to Addendum 2 for update

16) Can you please identify the plan sheet for the Inca Road bid item for the “24” Culvert Pipe at the east side road swale of Inca, under the SSCAFCA gate”? Are there two culverts for each of the gates on the south side of Inca? Is a detail available and are end sections required? 

Please refer to updates in Addendum 2. End sections are required.
17) For the 2 each Type I MDI on Inca Road connecting to only 1-36” Culvert Pipe, can you please provide a detail for both inlets connecting to 1 culvert pipe, or please reference the Serial Drawing on C-508 and C-509 as per Key Note 99 on sheet C-107? 
   Please refer to Addendum 2 for updates. NMDOT Std Serials 623-17-1-6 apply.

18) Is the Mobilization 1 LS or 5% of the LS Unit Price? How is the extended amount to be determined?
   Please refer to Addendum 2 in Bid Tab

END OF ADDENDUM