SSCAFCA Hydrology Manual v1.1



Revision History

Version	Date	Description
v1.0	April 2020	Adoption of SSCAFCA Hydrology Manual;
v1.1	March 2021	Specified the <i>velocity method</i> as the recommended procedure for estimating time of concentration (see <i>Transform</i> , page 14);

Introduction

The Southern Sandoval County Arroyo Flood Control Authority (SSCAFCA) was created in 1990 by the New Mexico State Legislature to address flooding problems in the southern portion of Sandoval County. SSCAFCA initially applied the City of Albuquerque drainage guidance. In 2009, SSCAFCA and the City of Rio Rancho adopted a revised manual outlining procedures for hydrologic analysis and design of flood control structures.

As the agency in charge of planning large scale flood control infrastructure, SSCAFCA continually strives to improve the accuracy of hydrologic analysis within its jurisdiction. In 2007, SSCAFCA began collecting rainfall and runoff data in all its major watersheds. Data collected over the past 12 years has been used to calibrate hydrologic models and refine methods for estimating stormwater runoff. Figure 1 illustrates the importance of model calibration for obtaining realistic model results¹.



Figure 1: Simulated and measured flow in the Calabacillas Arroyo following the storm of Sep. 13, 2013.

¹ SSCAFCA (2015)

SSCAFCA Hydrology Manual

The revised guidelines for hydrologic analysis contained in this document are based on a number of studies conducted by SSCAFCA:

- A paper assessing the impact of different modeling approaches for impervious surfaces in a 0.6 mi² urban basin located in the City of Rio Rancho²;
- Rainfall simulator test carried out on different soils throughout SSCAFCA's jurisdictional area to quantify infiltration and runoff under controlled conditions³;
- A calibrated hydrologic model of the 1.1 mi² Arroyo 19A watershed on Albuquerque's west side; the entire basin is in its natural state. Hydrologic analysis was based on 20 years of rainfall-runoff data (1992-2013) collected by the U.S. Geological Survey³;
- A detailed hydrologic study of the 61 mi² Montoyas watershed; the underlying model was calibrated and validated using 13 storm events that occurred between 2008 and 2018. Both the model and associated documentation were reviewed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Albuquerque District⁴.

The main changes to SSCAFCA's drainage guidelines are:

- HEC-HMS is the recommended software for rainfall-runoff modeling;
- The curve number method should be used to simulate rainfall loss and excess;
- The design storm temporal distribution to be used is the frequency storm available in HEC-HMS.

Two case studies for subdivision-scale areas⁵ show that the differences in peak discharge and runoff volume from a typical urban subdivision using the new methods are expected to be small.

² Schoener (2017)

³ Schoener and Stone (2019)

⁴ See Appendix B

⁵ See Appendix C

Hydrology

The objective of the hydrology section of this manual is to provide technical guidance for estimating runoff from hypothetical storms of varying magnitudes to:

- (1) assess the impact of land use changes on runoff hydrographs;
- (2) appropriately size stormwater infrastructure to avoid flooding;
- (3) evaluate water quality implications of urban development;
- (4) support delineation of lateral erosion envelopes and other management strategies for the preservation of natural arroyos.

Rainfall-Runoff Modeling

Rainfall-runoff modeling should be conducted using the latest version of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-HMS software. The HEC-HMS program and documentation is available free of charge from the Hydrologic Engineering Center website http://www.hec.usace.army.mil/software/hec-hms/.

Rainfall-runoff models consist of four major components:

- (1) Rainfall the real or hypothetical storm of interest;
- (2) Rainfall Loss that portion of precipitation that does not become runoff due to processes such as infiltration, interception or depression storage;
- (3) Transform a method that converts excess precipitation from the area of interest into a runoff hydrograph;
- (4) Flood Routing simulating the movement of a flood wave through a channel, pipe or pond.

For each of the four model components, a multitude of methods exist within the HEC-HMS software. The following section of this document describes the recommended methods for hydrologic analysis in the SSCAFCA jurisdiction. Other methods and /or other rainfall-runoff models may be acceptable to SSCAFCA and other reviewing agencies, but need to be justified and should be discussed with the reviewing agency early-on in the project before proceeding.

Rainfall – Flood Control Design Storm

The flood control design storm is a hypothetical storm used to determine a design runoff volume and peak discharge. The design storm for peak discharge estimation and design of ponds and dams is the 100-year 24-hour storm. The 500-year 24-hour storm is used for sizing emergency spillways. If a proposed structure falls under the jurisdiction of the New Mexico

Office of the State Engineer, guidelines set forth by the Dam Safety Bureau shall be followed (<u>http://www.ose.state.nm.us/DS/dsIndex.php</u>).

The design storm should be simulated in the latest version of HEC-HMS using the frequency storm option. The following input parameters are required⁶:

HEC-HMS model parameters, flood control design storm			
Probability:	This is the inverse of the return period and indicates the probability of a storm occurring in any given year; choices are 50% (2-year storm), 20% (5-year storm), 10% (10-year storm), and "Other" for all other probabilities such as the 1% (100- year) storm and 0.2% (500-year) storm for pond emergency spillway design		
Input Type:	Select Partial Duration		
Intensity Duration:	Select 5 Minutes		
Storm Duration:	1 Day		
Intensity Position:	Select 25%; this determines the period of peak rainfall intensity		
Storm Area:	This field is used to calculate the depth-area reduction factor and should be left blank in most cases, except for sizing large regional flood control infrastructure with a contributing drainage area exceeding ten square miles		
Partial-Duration Depth:	This data is obtained from the NOAA Precipitation Frequency Data Server (PFDS): <u>https://hdsc.nws.noaa.qov/hdsc/pfds/pfds_map_cont.html?bk</u> <u>mrk=nm</u> The location should be selected at the centroid of contributing drainage basins; please submit the project specific point precipitation frequency estimate table obtained from PFDS as part of any drainage submittal.		

Depth-area reduction can be used to adjust point precipitation estimates for large basins. This is accomplished by entering the watershed size under "*Storm Area*" (see above). This adjustment, however, should only be used for very large basins with a contributing drainage area exceeding ten square miles (USACE 2000; WMO 1994). Moreover, caution is necessary

⁶ This guidance was developed based on HEC-HMS version 4.2.1. Parameters may vary in other versions of the software.

when using depth-area reduction: when a storm area is entered, the program adjusts rainfall depths for the entire model. Results for smaller subbasins may therefore be underestimated.

The HEC-HMS should be run with a time step equal to or smaller than the intensity duration (i.e. 5 minutes or less).

Rainfall – 90th Percentile Storm

According to the NPDES General Permit (USEPA, 2014) governing SSCAFCA's jurisdictional area, runoff from the 90th percentile storm has to be managed on-site for all new development "through stormwater controls that infiltrate [or] evapotranspire the discharge volume, …" (see NPDES Permit No. NMR04A000, pg. 28). EPA publication 832-R-15-009 (2015) referenced in the NPDES permit defines cumulative precipitation from the 90th percentile storm in the Albuquerque metropolitan area as 0.65 inches based on the rain gauge located at the Albuquerque International Airport. The value is consistent with an analysis by SSCAFCA using rain gauge data from stations in the Rio Rancho area (see Appendix A).

The 90th percentile cumulative precipitation is also equivalent to the annual 2-hour storm in the SSCAFCA jurisdiction. The following frequency storm parameters should therefore be used when modeling the 90th percentile storm:

HEC-HMS model parameters, water quality storm				
Probability:	Other	Other		
Input Type:	Partial Dura	tion		
Intensity Duration:	5 Minutes			
Storm Duration:	2 Hours			
Intensity Position:	25%			
Storm Area:	Blank			
Partial-Duration Depth:	Duration	Partial-Duration Depth (in)		
	5 Minutes	0.18		
	15 Minutes	0.34		
	1 Hour	0.56		
	2 Hours	0.65		

Rainfall Loss

The recommended rainfall loss methodology is the curve number method (USDA, 2004a). The curve number equation is:

$$Q = \frac{(P - I_a)^2}{(P - I_a) + S}$$

where Q (in) is the direct runoff, P (in) is the cumulative precipitation, I_a (in) is the initial abstraction, and S (in) is the maximum potential retention after runoff begins. The curve number (CN) is a transformation of S, whereby:

$$CN = \frac{1000}{10+S}$$

As implemented in HEC-HMS, the curve number method allows specification of three parameters: initial abstraction, curve number, and percent impervious area.

Initial Abstraction

While the initial abstraction can be user specified, it is often calculated as:

$$I_a = 0.2 S$$

This is the default in HEC-HMS and should be used for hydrologic analysis in the SSCAFCA area (initial abstraction field is left blank).

Urban Imperviousness

Impervious surfaces are one of the critical factors influencing the rainfall runoff relationship in urban areas, particularly for small frequent storms such as the water quality storm (Schoener, 2017). Impervious surfaces can be divided into two categories: directly connected and

Directly Connected Impervious Areas (DCIA) comprise all surfaces with a direct connection to the drainage system, e.g. paved roads, driveways, parking lots, and rooftop areas that drain onto paved areas. unconnected (Figure 2). Directly Connected Impervious Areas (DCIA) comprise all surfaces with a direct connection to the drainage system. Impervious areas that drain onto pervious surfaces, e.g. rooftop areas that drain onto landscaping, are considered Unconnected Impervious Areas (UIA). This distinction is important during small storm events because some or all of the runoff from UIA may spread over pervious surfaces, where it is subject to losses due to depression storage and infiltration, before it reaches the drainage system.

DCIA include paved roads, driveways, parking lots, and rooftop areas that drain onto paved areas. Special emphasis should be placed on delineating DCIA in the area to be modeled. In HEC-HMS, DCIA for each subbasin must be specified as *percent impervious area.* All precipitation falling on that portion of the subbasin becomes direct runoff. Unconnected impervious areas are included in the composite curve number calculation (see below). This approach is commonly referred to as



Figure 2: Example of DCIA (red arrow, driveway) and UIA (blue arrow, roof downspout into pervious planter) at the SSCAFCA office building.

the split hydrograph method because a runoff hydrograph for DCIA is computed separately from pervious areas and UIA. The two hydrographs are then added together to obtain a composite hydrograph for the subbasin.

Table 1 lists major sources of urban imperviousness and recommended proportion of DCIA and UIA. For master-planned residential developments, DCIA and UIA do not have to be delineated on a lot-by-lot basis. For example, average roof and driveway areas can be estimated using a representative sub-set of lots from an existing subdivision within SSCAFCA's jurisdiction with similar land use densities. This can be accomplished using orthophotography available from SSCAFCA or with the aid of free services such as Google Earth. Imperviousness associated with roadways should be estimated based on approved platting. Commercial areas should be assessed on a case-by-case basis.

The drainage report should include a short description of the method used to determine DCIA and UIA percentages accompanied by figures where appropriate. Values in Table 1 can be adjusted based on site-specific conditions with appropriate justification.

Land Cover	DCIA	UIA
Residential roof	50%	50%
Backyard impervious (paved patio, shed roof, etc.)	0%	100%
Residential driveway	100%	0%
Commercial parking lot	100%	0%
Commercial roof	100%	0%
Road (with curb and gutter, storm drain)	100%	0%
Sidewalk (separated from road by pervious buffer)	0%	100%
Rural road (without curb and gutter, storm drain)	0%	100%

Table 1: Major sources of urban imperviousness.

Composite Curve Number

One curve number must be specified for each subbasin within the model. Curve numbers theoretically range from 0 to 100 and depend on soil type and condition, vegetation, and moisture conditions, among other factors. Curve numbers are often estimated based on hydrologic soil group and cover type (USDA 2004a). Hydrologic soil groups in turn are obtained from NRCS soils maps. However, evidence from the published literature suggests that mapped hydrologic soil groups are not good predictors for curve numbers for the area covered by this manual (Heggen 1987, Ward and Bolton 2010, Schoener and Stone 2019). This can be

attributed – at least in part – to the level of detail underlying the mapping effort. According to the NRCS Soil Survey of Sandoval County "most of the survey area is used as rangeland, and mapping was performed at a less detailed level. The mapping units in this area are broadly defined. Soil boundaries were plotted and verified at widely spaced intervals. In general, these mapping units are less homogeneous and contain more minor soil component areas than the more detailed mapping units. These units are designed primarily for planning the management of large tracts of land as rangeland. They provide general information for development, but the information should be used with caution. Onsite investigation is essential to provide the detail needed for planning intensive land uses." (Hacker and Banet, 2008, pg. 20-21).

Mapped hydrologic soil groups are not good predictors for curve numbers for the area covered by this manual. Onsite investigation is essential to provide the detail needed for planning intensive land uses.

Until more detailed soils maps become available and a correlation between mapped soils and curve numbers can be established, Table 2 and Table 3 should be used as guidance for estimating curve numbers in the area covered by this manual.

Table 2	: Runoff	curve	numbers.
---------	----------	-------	----------

Major Land Use Categories	CN	Description
Directly Connected Impervious Areas (DCIA) ³	See note ⁴	Impervious areas that <u>are</u> directly connected to the drainage system. See urban land uses below for examples
Unconnected Impervious Areas (UIA) ²	98	Impervious areas that <u>are not</u> directly connected to the drainage system. See urban land uses below for examples
Graded/Compacted Areas ²	86	Soils graded and/or compacted by driving or construction activity
Open Space ¹	70 – 80	Natural areas undisturbed by human activity; see text for explanation of CN range; use CN=80 if no justification is provided (see Table 4)
Undisturbed Residential Yards ¹	70 – 80	Yards in residential subdivisions that are undisturbed or minimally impacted by construction activity can be considered equivalent to open space; use CN=80 if no justification is provided (see Table 4)
Residential yard in mass-graded subdivision	80	Minimal vegetation and landscaping
Natural Desert Landscaping ²	77	Only landscaping without impervious weed barrier, gravel mulch
Artificial Desert Landscaping ²	96	Impervious weed barrier, gravel mulch
Unpaved Roads (including right-of-way) ²	82	Graded dirt and gravel roads
Park, Lawn ²	68	Areas covered by irrigated turf
Urban Land Uses		
Single-Family Residential	Land use categories for master-planned residential developments do not have to be delineated on a lot-by-lot basis; it is acceptable to estimate major land use types from a representative sub-set of lots	
DCIA	See note ⁴	e.g. streets, driveways, 50% of roof area draining directly onto driveway or paved street
UIA	98	e.g. 50% of roof area draining onto pervious landscaping, paved backyard patio or shed
Commercial, Office, Multi-Family Residential, Industrial	DCIA should be delineated with care, as it is a major contributor t developed runoff.	
DCIA	See note ⁴	Paved parking and roof areas draining to storm drain inlet or paved street
UIA	98	Sidewalk with pervious buffer, paved parking draining to depressed landscaping
Other Urban Land Uses (School, Church, etc.)	Perform site-specific evaluation; distinguish site-specific DCIA, UIA, and pervious areas.	

¹SSCAFCA 2019; ²USDA 2004b; ³Schoener 2017;

⁴ All DCIA shall be modeled in HEC-HMS as *Percent Impervious*.

A range of curve numbers is provided for open space areas and undisturbed residential yards. This range is based on several studies conducted by SSCAFCA: rainfall simulator testing carried out on area soils (Schoener and Stone 2019), and hydrologic models for two watersheds calibrated based on measured rainfall/runoff data (SSCAFCA 2019, Schoener and Stone 2019). Curve number variability is due to rainfall intensity and duration, cumulative precipitation, soil moisture conditions, and local variations in soil and cover type (USDA, 2004b). Ultimate selection of pervious area curve numbers requires some judgement by the modeler and should be justified.

Table 3 can be used to estimate curve numbers for open space and undisturbed residential yards based on ground cover and soil texture or percent fines. Both methods (using soil texture or using percent fines) are acceptable, and only one has to be used.

Percent fines can be determined from particle size analysis; as an alternative to laboratory testing, use of the NRCS field guide to estimate soil texture by feel is acceptable (see Appendix D). At least 10 samples per square mile, but no less than 3 samples for any proposed development should be analyzed. Soil samples should be retrieved from the top 6 inches of the soil profile. Ground cover should also be estimated in the field. Soils with fines content >50% or clay content >20% are not common in SSCAFCA's jurisdiction with the exception of the Rio Grande valley. Should such soils be encountered, higher curve numbers should be used (see Table 3, right hand column).

	Curve Numbers for Soil Textures				NRCS field
	Sand	Loamy	Sandy	Sandy Clay Loam,	guide
Ground cover	(0-15% Fines)	(15-30% Fines)	(30-50% Fines)	(>50% Fines or >20% Clay)	Or Particle size
< 30%	72	76	80	88	analysis
30-70%	70	74	78	86	

Table 3: Guidelines for CN selection – open space and undisturbed residential yards.

Estimated texture classes should be included in the drainage report as a justification for curve number selection (see section *Documentation*, page 17). CN=80 should be used for open space and undisturbed residential yards if no soil texture and ground cover-based justification is provided.

Subbasins should be delineated as homogeneously as possible with respect to soil texture, land use characteristics, subbasin size, basin shape and predominant land slope. In areas where multiple land use types exist within one subbasin, a composite curve number representative of the entire subbasin should be calculated as the area-weighted average of individual land use categories (see example calculation below). If a large discrepancy between pervious area curve numbers exist, subbasins should be split to achieve more uniform land use categories.

Unconnected impervious areas are included in this weighted average using a curve number value of 98.

Example:

A 5 square mile subbasin has the following land cover characteristics and associated curve numbers:

Land use	Area (mi ²)	Curve Number
Directly connected impervious areas (DCIA)	1.2	Accounted for as % Impervious
Unconnected impervious areas (UIA)	0.7	98
Compacted areas	0.8	86
Open space ¹	2.3	76
Total Area	5	
Pervious + UIA	3.8	

Table 4: Sample composite curve number calculation.

¹ Open space CN for loamy sand, <30% ground cover (see Table 3)

The percent impervious area is the fraction of the subbasin covered by DCIA:

$$DCIA = \frac{1.2}{5.0} = 24\%$$

The composite curve number is calculated as the area weighted average of the pervious land use types and unconnected impervious areas:

$$CN_{COMP} = \frac{0.7}{3.8} * 98 + \frac{0.8}{3.8} * 86 + \frac{2.3}{3.8} * 76 = 82$$

Only pervious areas and UIA (3.8 mi²) are used in the calculation because DCIA is already accounted for.

The following loss parameters must be specified in HEC-HMS:

HEC-HMS model parameters, curve number loss method		
Initial Abstraction (in):	Blank (by leaving this field blank, the model uses the default of $I_a=0.2\ S$)	
Curve Number:	Enter the composite subbasin curve number	
Impervious (%):	% DCIA	

Antecedent Moisture Conditions

Antecedent soil moisture is an important factor in the generation of runoff. High initial moisture content leads to substantially higher runoff from a given storm event. In the context of the curve number loss methodology, this means that an area with a given soil and cover type will have a lower curve number (= less runoff) if soils are dry at the onset of the storm. Curve numbers reported in Table 2 and Table 3 can be interpreted as intermediate conditions and are appropriate for most analyses.

Sensitivity analysis including wet conditions runoff may be requested at the discretion of the Executive Engineer for regional flood control infrastructure (i.e. regional stormwater detention facilities, road crossings of major arroyos, major flood control conveyances).

Transform

The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) unit hydrograph is the recommended transform method for the area covered by this manual. Typically, it should be used with the standard graph type (PRF 484). The lag time – defined as the length of time between the centroid of precipitation mass and peak flow – is estimated as 60% of the time of concentration (T_c). T_c is the time required for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant point of the subbasin to the basin outlet or concentration point. T_c is estimated based on the velocity method described in National Engineering Handbook chapter 15 (USDA 2010). SSCAFCA may choose a different transform method for regional models, for example in cases where measured rainfall/runoff data is available for model calibration.

HEC-HMS model parameters, SCS Unit Hydrograph transform		
Graph Type:	Standard (PRF 484)	
Lag Time (min):	Enter subbasin-specific lag time	

Flood Routing

In analyses where the area of interest is subdivided into multiple subbasins, and runoff from upper subbasins moves through channels or storm drain pipes to the outlet, flood routing must be used to account for the travel time and peak flow attenuation as the flood wave moves downstream. In HEC-HMS, the Muskingum-Cunge routing method should be used. The following parameters must be specified:

HEC-HMS model parameters, Muskingum-Cunge routing method		
Time Step Method:	Automatic fixed interval	
Length (ft):	Total length of the reach	
Slope (ft/ft):	Average bed slope; if the reach contains drop structures, the slope should be calculated as the average channel bed slope between drop structures and not account for the height of the drop (see Figure 3)	
Manning's n:	Average roughness coefficient for the entire reach; common roughness coefficients are listed in Table 5.	
Invert:	Blank	
Shape:	Circle, Eight Point, Rectangle, Trapezoid or Triangle	



Figure 3: Slope calculation in channels with drop structures.

Channel Type	Manning's n value
Sand channel/arroyo ¹	0.020 - 0.035
Troweled concrete	0.013
Tined concrete	0.018
Shotcrete	0.025
Streets (asphalt)	0.017
Reinforced concrete pipe	0.013
Corrugated metal pipe	0.025

Table 5: Recommended base values of Manning's n.

¹ depending on bed material and flow regime

Flow resistance is affected by many factors including bed material size, bedforms, flow depth, vegetation, channel irregularities and obstructions. Adjustment of n-values listed in Table 5 may be necessary in some cases. For more information and values for materials not listed in Table 5, please refer to SSCAFCA's Sediment and Erosion Design Guide (2008) and other appropriate publications (e.g. Brater et al. 1996).

Routing reaches should be fairly uniform with respect to slope and cross-section; if either varies considerably, the reach should be subdivided.

Transmission Losses

Arroyo sediments often have much higher infiltration rates than soils of the surrounding land surface. As runoff flows through an arroyo, a portion of the stormwater infiltrates into the alluvial sediments. These so-called transmission losses can have a substantial impact on peak discharge and runoff volume, particularly during frequent, low intensity storm events (Schoener, 2016). Only catchment-scale models should account for transmission losses in the main stem of major arroyos. Typically, models of that scale will be maintained by SSCAFCA. Approval by SSCAFCA is required before accounting for transmission losses in models of smaller spatial scale.

Sediment Bulking

Stormwater runoff within SSCAFCA's jurisdictional area typically carries large amounts of sediment. A bulking factor is therefore added to clearwater discharges to account for increased runoff volumes. Bulking factors are 18% for open space and 6% for urbanized areas with paved roads and curb and gutter. For subbasins counting both open space and urbanized land uses, an area-averaged bulking factor should be used. Bulking factors can be modeled in HEC-HMS by assigning an appropriate flow ratio (e.g. 1.06 and 1.18 for bulking factors of 6% and 18%, respectively) to each subbasin element. Please note that flow ratios must first be enabled for a basin model before they are available for subbasins within the basin model.

Pond Routing

Flow through stormwater detention ponds should be routed using the elevation-storagedischarge or elevation-area-discharge method. The following parameters are required:

Method:	Outflow Curve
Storage Method:	Elevation-Storage-Discharge
Stor-Dis Function or Elev-Area Function:	Select appropriate table
<i>Elev-Stor Function or Elev-Dis Function:</i>	Select appropriate table
Primary:	Storage-Discharge
Initial Condition:	Storage
Initial Storage (AC-FT):	0

Documentation

Documentation accompanying each submittal should include the following information:

- Version of HEC-HMS used to compute runoff;
- Design storm precipitation frequency estimate table for the centroid of all contributing drainage basins;
- Basin boundary map;
- Description of existing conditions model;
- Existing conditions model parameters and results for each subbasin (see Table 7);
- Description of developed conditions model with proposed infrastructure in place;
- Developed conditions model parameters and results for each subbasin (see Table 7); this should include a brief discussion of how imperviousness (DCIA and UIA) was estimated;
- Table of soil samples results to justify curve number selection for open space/undisturbed residential yards (see Table 6);
- Detailed table for each proposed pond (see Table 8), along with a description of the principal and emergency spillways. Incremental elevations should be no more than one foot. If a ported riser is used, information describing the structure (number of ports, top elevation) should be included in the notes section of the table.

Location	Lat	Long	Soil texture	Source
SW quadrant of Southern Blvd. and User Blvd.	35.239848	-106.701032	Sand	Estimate using NRCS field guide ¹
	35.240704	-106.701481	Loamy sand	Laboratory analysis ²

Table 6: Sample table for estimation of soil textures.

¹ see Appendix D

² if laboratory analysis was performed, please include results as an attachment to the report

Subbasin ID	Basin 1		
Scenario	Existing Conditions		
Impervious (DCIA)	Area (mi ²)	%	
Total area	0.5000	100%	
DCIA	0.0625	12.5%	
Pervious and UIA	0.4375 87.5		
Composite CN calculation	Area (mi ²)	CN	
UIA	0.0075	98	
Compacted	0.0300	86	
Open space (sand, >30% ground cover)	0.2000	72	
Natural Desert landscaping	0.2000	77	
Composite CN		76	
Transform parameters	min		
Lag time	30		
Design storm parameters	Cumulative precipitation (in)		
100-yr 24-hr	2.90		
500-yr 24-hr *	3.61		
Water quality storm *	0.66		
Model results	Peak discharge Runoff volum (cfs) (ac-ft)		
100-yr 24-hr	260	31.8	
500-yr 24-hr *	405	45.7	
Water quality storm *	26 2.		

Table 7: Sample table to be included in the drainage submittalspecifying model parameters and results for each subbasin.

* if applicable

		Discharge			
Elevation	Cumulative Storage ¹	Principal Spillway	Emergency Spillway	Total	Notes
ft)	(ac-ft)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	
5492	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	Pond and principal spillway invert
5493	0.10	4.0	0.0	4.0	
5494	0.36	13.3	0.0	13.3	
5495	0.81	18.7	0.0	18.7	
5496	1.47	21.6	0.0	21.6	100-year WSE (5496.4 ft)
5497	2.34	24.2	0.0	24.2	Emergency spillway invert
5498	3.40	26.5	260.0	286.5	
5499	4.63	28.6	735.4	764.0	
5500	5.98	30.6	1351.0	1381.6	

Table 8: Sample table to be included in the drainage submittal for each stormwater detention pond.

¹ or area (ac) if using elevation-area-discharge method

References

Brater, E. F., King, H. W., Lindell, J. E., and Wei, C. Y. (1996). "Handbook of hydraulics for the solution of hydraulic engineering problems." New York: McGraw-hill.

Hacker, L., and Banet, C. (2008). "Soil Survey of Sandoval County Area, New Mexico, Parts of Los Alamos, Sandoval, and Rio Arriba Counties." United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

HEC-HMS [Computer software]. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, Davis, CA.

Heggen, R. J. (1987). "Split Ring Infiltration Basic Data Collection and Interpretation." Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control Authority, Albuquerque, NM.

Schoener, G. (2016). "Quantifying Transmission Losses in a New Mexico Ephemeral Stream: A Losing Proposition." J. Hydrol. Eng. 22(3).

Schoener, G. (2017). "Urban Runoff in the U.S. Southwest: Importance of Impervious Surfaces for Small-Storm Hydrology." J. Hydrol. Eng. 23(2).

Schoener, G. and Stone, M.C. (2019). "Impact of Antecedent Soil Moisture on Runoff from a Semiarid Catchment." Journal of Hydrology, 569, 627-636. Doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.025.

SSCAFCA (Southern Sandoval County Arroyo Flood Control Authority). (2008). "Sediment and Erosion Design Guide." Rio Rancho, NM.

SSCAFCA (Southern Sandoval County Arroyo Flood Control Authority). (2015). "Interim Calabacillas Watershed Park Management Plan." Rio Rancho, NM.

SSCAFCA (Southern Sandoval County Arroyo Flood Control Authority). (2019). "DRAFT Montoyas Watershed Park Conservation Plan." Rio Rancho, NM.

USACE (US Army Corps of Engineers). (2000). "Hydrologic Modeling System HEC-HMS Technical Reference Manual." Hydrologic Engineering Center, Davis, CA.

USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). (2004a). "National Engineering Handbook, Part 630, Chapter 9, Estimation of direct runoff from storm rainfall." Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). (2004b). "National Engineering Handbook, Part 630, Chapter 10, Hydrologic soil-cover complexes." Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). (2010). "National Engineering Handbook, Part 630, Chapter 15, Time of concentration." Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014). "Authorization to Discharge under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System." NPDES General Permit No. NMR04A000.

USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2015). "Estimating Predevelopment Hydrology for Urbanized Areas in New Mexico." EPA Publication Number 832-R-15-009.

Ward, T. J., and Bolton, S. M. (2010). "Of Rainfall Simulators, Infiltration Rates, and Curve Numbers: A Southwest Experience." Proceedings of the ASCE 2010 Watershed Management Conference, Innovations in Watershed Management under Land Use and Climate Change, pp. 1362-1373. doi: 10.1061/41143(394)122.

WMO (World Meteorological Organization). (1994). "Guide to hydrological practices: Vol. II – Analysis, forecasting and other applications." WMO-No. 168, Geneva, Switzerland.